

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the quarterly period ended JUNE 30, 2012

( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-8339



**NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Virginia**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

**Three Commercial Place**

**Norfolk, Virginia**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**52-1188014**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**23510-2191**

(Zip Code)

**(757) 629-2680**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

**No Change**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes [X] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [X] Accelerated filer [ ] Non-accelerated filer [ ] Smaller reporting company [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes [ ] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<u><b>Class</b></u>	<u><b>Outstanding at June 30, 2012</b></u>
Common Stock (\$1.00 par value per share)	319,516,715 (excluding 20,320,777 shares held by the registrant's consolidated subsidiaries)

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (NS)

2

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)			
<b>Railway operating revenues</b>	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,866	\$ 5,663	\$ 5,486
<b>Railway operating expenses:</b>				
Compensation and benefits	724	739	1,510	1,504
Purchased services and rents	392	405	783	788
Fuel	390	412	803	801
Depreciation	229	213	453	424

Materials and other	<u>205</u>	<u>222</u>	<u>435</u>	<u>494</u>
Total railway operating expenses	<u>1,940</u>	<u>1,991</u>	<u>3,984</u>	<u>4,011</u>
<b>Income from railway operations</b>	934	875	1,679	1,475
Other income – net	31	34	60	61
Interest expense on debt	<u>122</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>242</u>	<u>225</u>
Income before income taxes	843	796	1,497	1,311
Provision for income taxes	<u>319</u>	<u>239</u>	<u>563</u>	<u>429</u>
<b>Net income</b>	<u>\$ 524</u>	<u>\$ 557</u>	<u>\$ 934</u>	<u>\$ 882</u>
<b>Per share amounts:</b>				
Net income:				
Basic	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.58	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.49
Diluted	1.60	1.56	2.82	2.45
Dividends	0.47	0.40	0.94	0.80

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>			
Net income	\$ 524	\$ 557	\$ 934	\$ 882
Other comprehensive income, before tax:				
Pension and other postretirement benefits	32	29	64	57
Other comprehensive loss of equity investees	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(4)</u>	<u>-</u>
Other comprehensive income, before tax	32	29	60	57
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	<u>(13)</u>	<u>(13)</u>	<u>(25)</u>	<u>(22)</u>
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 543</u>	<u>\$ 573</u>	<u>\$ 969</u>	<u>\$ 917</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December</b>
	<b>2012</b>	<b>31, 2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 356	\$ 276
Short-term investments	15	25
Accounts receivable - net	1,086	1,022
Materials and supplies	242	209
Deferred income taxes	133	143
Other current assets	<u>52</u>	<u>76</u>
Total current assets	1,884	1,751
Investments	2,278	2,234
Properties less accumulated depreciation of \$9,738 and \$9,464, respectively	24,968	24,469
Other assets	<u>61</u>	<u>84</u>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 29,191</b>	<b>\$ 28,538</b>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,126	\$ 1,092
Short-term debt	-	100
Income and other taxes	322	207
Other current liabilities	299	252
Current maturities of long-term debt	<u>27</u>	<u>50</u>
Total current liabilities	1,774	1,701
Long-term debt	7,972	7,390
Other liabilities	2,028	2,050
Deferred income taxes	<u>7,639</u>	<u>7,486</u>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>19,413</b>	<b>18,627</b>
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock \$1.00 per share par value, 1,350,000,000 shares authorized; outstanding 319,516,715 and 330,386,089 shares, respectively, net of treasury shares	321	332
Additional paid-in capital	1,904	1,912
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(991)	(1,026)
Retained income	<u>8,544</u>	<u>8,693</u>
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>9,778</b>	<b>9,911</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 29,191</b>	<b>\$ 28,538</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 934	\$ 882
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	456	428
Deferred income taxes	138	243
Gains and losses on properties	(2)	-
Changes in assets and liabilities affecting operations:		
Accounts receivable	(64)	(184)

Materials and supplies	(33)	(32)
Other current assets	23	36
Current liabilities other than debt	162	221
Other – net	49	106
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,663</u>	<u>1,700</u>

**Cash flows from investing activities:**

Property additions	(968)	(888)
Property sales and other transactions	15	20
Investments, including short-term	(12)	(67)
Investment sales and other transactions	33	134
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(932)</u>	<u>(801)</u>

**Cash flows from financing activities:**

Dividends	(308)	(283)
Common stock issued – net	47	69
Purchase and retirement of common stock	(850)	(792)
Proceeds from borrowings – net	696	396
Debt repayments	(236)	(438)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(651)</u>	<u>(1,048)</u>

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	80	(149)
------------------------------------------------------	----	-------

**Cash and cash equivalents:**

At beginning of year	<u>276</u>	<u>827</u>
At end of period	<u>\$ 356</u>	<u>\$ 678</u>

**Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:**

Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 232	\$ 219
Income taxes (net of refunds)	264	45

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.*

**Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**(Unaudited)**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly Norfolk Southern Corporation (Norfolk Southern) and subsidiaries' (collectively, NS) financial condition as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, its results of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and its cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

These Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in NS' latest Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**1. Stock-Based Compensation**

During the first quarter of 2012, a committee of non-employee directors of Norfolk Southern's Board of Directors granted stock options, restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share units (PSUs) pursuant to the Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) and granted stock options pursuant to the Thoroughbred Stock Option Plan (TSOP) as discussed below. Stock-based compensation expense was \$7 million during both the second quarters of 2012 and 2011. For the first six months of 2012 and 2011, stock-based compensation expense was \$41 million and \$49 million, respectively. The total tax effects recognized in income in relation to stock-based compensation were net benefits of \$3 million and \$2 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and net benefits of \$14 million and \$16 million for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## Stock Options

In the first quarter of 2012, 567,300 options were granted under the LTIP and 210,300 options were granted under the TSOP. In each case, the grant price was \$75.14, which was the greater of the average fair market value of Norfolk Southern common stock (Common Stock) or the closing price of the Common Stock on the effective date of the grant, and the options have a term of ten years. The options granted under the LTIP and TSOP in 2012 may not be exercised prior to the fourth and third anniversaries of the date of grant, respectively. Holders of the 2012 options granted under the LTIP who remain actively employed receive cash dividend equivalent payments for four years in an amount equal to the regular quarterly dividends paid on Common Stock. Dividend equivalent payments are not made on TSOP options.

The fair value of each option award in 2012 was measured on the date of grant using a lattice-based option valuation model. Expected volatilities are based on implied volatilities from traded options on Common Stock and historical volatility of Common Stock. NS uses historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The average expected option life is derived from the output of the valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The average risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. For options granted that include dividend equivalent payments, a dividend yield of zero was used. A dividend yield of 2.3% was used for LTIP options for periods where no dividend equivalent payments are made as well as for TSOP options, which do not receive dividend equivalents.

The assumptions for the 2012 LTIP and TSOP grants are shown in the following table:

Expected volatility range	27% - 29%
Average expected volatility	27%
LTIP average expected option life	8.9 years
TSOP average expected option life	8.8 years
Average risk-free interest rate	1.96%
LTIP per-share grant-date fair value	\$23.84
TSOP per-share grant-date fair value	\$19.55

During the second quarter of 2012, options relating to 396,939 shares were exercised, yielding \$10 million of cash proceeds and \$6 million of tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital. During the second quarter of 2011, options relating to 889,853 shares were exercised, yielding \$25 million of cash proceeds and \$12 million of tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital.

For the first six months of 2012, options relating to 773,296 shares were exercised, yielding \$21 million of cash proceeds and \$12 million of tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital. For the first six months of 2011, options relating to 1,649,046 shares were exercised, yielding \$45 million of cash proceeds and \$22 million of tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital.

## Restricted Stock Units

There were 140,000 RSUs granted in 2012, with an average grant-date fair value of \$75.14 and a five-year restriction period. The RSUs granted in 2012 will be settled through the issuance of shares of Common Stock.

During the second quarter of 2012, 30,000 RSUs were earned and paid out. There were 311,900 earned and paid out during the first six months of 2012. There were no RSUs earned or paid out during the first six months of 2011. The total related tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital was less than \$1 million for the second quarter of 2012 and \$3 million for the first six months of 2012. The total related tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital was less than \$1 million for both the second quarter of 2011 and the first six months of 2011.

## Performance Share Units

PSUs provide for awards based on achievement of certain predetermined corporate performance goals (total

shareholder return, return on average invested capital, and operating ratio) at the end of a three-year cycle and are paid in the form of shares of Common Stock. During the first quarter of 2012, there were 468,850 PSUs granted with a grant-date fair value of \$75.14.

During the first six months of 2012, 782,889 PSUs were earned and paid out in shares of Common Stock. The total related tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital was \$11 million for the first six months of 2012.

During the first six months of 2011, 850,595 PSUs were earned and paid out, one-half in shares of Common Stock and one-half in cash. These PSUs had a grant-date fair value of \$50.47 per unit and a fair value at payout of \$62.75 per unit. The total related tax benefit recognized as additional paid-in capital was \$2 million for the first six months of 2011.

## 2. Income Taxes

There have been no material changes to the balance of unrecognized tax benefits reported at December 31, 2011. NS anticipates that the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) will complete its examination of NS' 2009 and 2010 federal income tax returns by the end of 2012. NS does not expect that the resolution of the examination will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

## 3. Earnings Per Share

	Basic		Diluted	
	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)			
Net income	\$ 524	\$ 557	\$ 524	\$ 557
Dividend equivalent payments	(2)	(2)	-	-
Income available to common stockholders	522	555	524	557
Weighted-average shares outstanding	322.7	351.0	322.7	351.0
Dilutive effect of outstanding options and share-settled awards			4.8	6.3
Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding			327.5	357.3
Earnings per share	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.56

	<b>Basic</b>		<b>Diluted</b>	
	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>			
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)</i>			
Net income	\$ 934	\$ 882	\$ 934	\$ 882
Dividend equivalent payments	<u>(4)</u>	<u>(4)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(2)</u>
Income available to common stockholders	930	878	932	880
Weighted-average shares outstanding	<u>325.5</u>	<u>353.1</u>	325.5	353.1
Dilutive effect of outstanding options and share-settled awards			4.7	5.8
Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding			<u>330.2</u>	<u>358.9</u>
Earnings per share	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.49	\$ 2.82	\$ 2.45

During the second quarters and first six months of 2012 and 2011, dividend equivalent payments were made to

holders of stock options and RSUs. For purposes of computing basic earnings per share, the total amount of dividend equivalent payments made to holders of stock options and RSUs were deducted from net income to determine income available to common stockholders. For purposes of computing diluted earnings per share, NS evaluates on a grant-by-grant basis those stock options and RSUs receiving dividend equivalent payments under the two-class and treasury stock methods to determine which method is the more dilutive for each grant. For those grants for which the two-class method was more dilutive, net income was reduced by the amount of dividend equivalent payments on these grants to determine income available to common stockholders. The diluted calculations exclude options having exercise prices exceeding the average market price of Common Stock as follows: 0.8 million in 2012 and zero in 2011.

#### **4. Stockholders' Equity**

Common stock is reported net of shares held by consolidated subsidiaries (Treasury Shares) of Norfolk Southern. Treasury Shares at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, amounted to 20,320,777 shares, respectively, with a cost of \$19 million as of both dates.

#### **5. Stock Repurchase Program**

NS repurchased and retired 12.3 million shares of Common Stock in the first six months of 2012, at a cost of \$850 million. NS repurchased and retired 11.6 million shares at a cost of \$792 million for the same period of 2011. The timing and volume of purchases is guided by management's assessment of market conditions and other pertinent factors. Any near-term share repurchases are expected to be made with internally generated cash, cash on hand, or proceeds from borrowings. Since the beginning of 2006, NS has repurchased and retired 121.9 million shares at a total cost of \$7.1 billion.

#### **6. Investment in Conrail**

Through a limited liability company, Norfolk Southern and CSX Corporation (CSX) jointly own Conrail Inc. (Conrail), whose primary subsidiary is Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC). NS has a 58% economic and 50% voting interest in the jointly owned entity, and CSX has the remainder of the economic and voting interests. NS' investment in Conrail was \$984 million at June 30, 2012, and \$969 million at December 31, 2011.

CRC owns and operates certain properties (the Shared Assets Areas) for the joint and exclusive benefit of Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR) and CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT). The costs of operating the Shared Assets Areas are borne by NSR and CSXT based on usage. In addition, NSR and CSXT pay CRC a fee for access to the Shared Assets Areas. "Purchased services and rents" and "Fuel" include expenses for the use of the Shared Assets Areas totaling \$30 million and \$32 million for the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$66 million and \$65 million for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. NS' equity in the earnings of Conrail, net of amortization, included in "Other income – net" was \$9 million and \$7 million for the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$15 million and \$13 million for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

"Accounts payable" includes \$157 million at June 30, 2012, and \$160 million at December 31, 2011, due to Conrail for the operation of the Shared Assets Areas. In addition, "Other liabilities" includes \$133 million at both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, for long-term advances from Conrail, maturing 2035, that bear interest at an average rate of 4.4%.

#### **7. Debt**

In the first quarter of 2012, NS borrowed \$100 million and repaid \$200 million under its accounts receivable securitization facility. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the amounts outstanding under the facility were \$100 million (at an average variable interest rate of 1.3%) and \$200 million (at an average variable interest rate



of 1.35%), respectively. In October 2011, NS renewed its accounts receivable securitization facility with a 364-day term to run until October 2012.

During the first quarter of 2012, NS issued \$600 million of 3.0% senior notes due 2022.

NS has authority from its Board of Directors to issue an additional \$400 million of debt or equity securities through public or private sale.

## 8. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

Norfolk Southern and certain subsidiaries have both funded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans covering principally salaried employees. Norfolk Southern and certain subsidiaries also provide specified health care and death benefits to eligible retired employees and their dependents. Under the present plans, which may be amended or terminated at NS' option, a defined percentage of health care expenses are covered, reduced by any deductibles, co-payments, Medicare payments, and in some cases, coverage provided under other group insurance policies.

	<b>Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Other Benefits</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>			
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>			
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 7	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost	22	23	13	14
Expected return on plan assets	(35)	(35)	(3)	(3)
Amortization of net losses	19	17	13	11
Amortization of prior service cost	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net cost	\$ 15	\$ 13	\$ 27	\$ 26

	<b>Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Other Benefits</b>	
	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>			
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	(\$ in millions)			
Service cost	\$ 17	\$ 14	\$ 8	\$ 8
Interest cost	44	46	27	29
Expected return on plan assets	(69)	(70)	(7)	(7)
Amortization of net losses	38	34	26	22
Amortization of prior service cost	-	1	-	-
Net cost	\$ 30	\$ 25	\$ 54	\$ 52

## 9. Fair Value

### Fair Value Measurements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codifications (ASC) 820-10, "Fair Value Measurements," established a framework for measuring fair value and a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels, as follows:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical

assets or liabilities in active markets that NS has the ability to access.

- Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:
- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
  - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
  - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
  - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

- Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. There were no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

### Fair Values of Financial Instruments

NS has evaluated the fair values of financial instruments and methods used to determine those fair values. The fair values of "Cash and cash equivalents," "Short-term investments," "Accounts receivable," "Accounts payable," and "Short-term debt" approximate carrying values because of the short maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying value of corporate-owned life insurance is recorded at cash surrender value and, accordingly, approximates fair value. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values for the remaining financial instruments, excluding investments accounted for under the equity method, consisted of the following:

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>		<b>December 31, 2011</b>	
	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>			
Long-term investments	\$ 137	\$ 171	\$ 151	\$ 186
Long-term debt, including current maturities	(7,999)	(10,258)	(7,440)	(9,469)

Underlying net assets were used to estimate the fair value of investments with the exception of notes receivable, which are based on future discounted cash flows. The fair values of long-term debt were estimated based on quoted market prices or discounted cash flows using current interest rates for debt with similar terms, company rating, and remaining maturity.

The following table sets forth the fair value of long-term investment and long-term debt balances disclosed above by valuation technique level, within the fair value hierarchy (there were no level 3 valued assets or liabilities).

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>		
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>		
Long-term investments	\$ 38	\$ 133	\$ 171
Long-term debt, including current maturities	(9,962)	(296)	(10,258)

Sales of available-for-sale securities were zero and \$132 million (which included current maturities) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## 10. Commitments and Contingencies

### Lawsuits

Norfolk Southern and/or certain subsidiaries are defendants in numerous lawsuits and other claims relating principally to railroad operations. When management concludes that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated, it is accrued through a charge to earnings. While the ultimate amount of liability incurred in any of these lawsuits and claims is dependent on future developments, in management's opinion, the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payment of such liability and claims. However, the final outcome of any of these lawsuits and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, and unfavorable or unexpected outcomes could result in additional accruals that could be significant to results of operations in a particular year or quarter. Any adjustments to the recorded liability will be reflected in earnings in the periods in which such adjustments are known.

One of NS' customers, DuPont, has a rate reasonableness complaint pending before the Surface Transportation Board (STB) alleging that the NS tariff rates for transportation of regulated movements are unreasonable. NS disputes these allegations. Since June 1, 2009, NS has been billing and collecting from DuPont amounts based on the challenged tariff rates. Management presently expects resolution of the case to occur in 2013 and believes the estimate of reasonably possible loss will not have a material effect on NS' financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. With regard to rate cases, management records adjustments to revenues in the periods, if and when, such adjustments are probable and estimable.

On November 6, 2007, various antitrust class actions filed against NS and other Class 1 railroads in various Federal district courts regarding fuel surcharges were consolidated in the District of Columbia by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. On June 21, 2012, the court certified the case as a class action. NS believes the allegations in the complaints are without merit and intends to vigorously defend the cases. NS does not believe that the outcome of these proceedings will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. A lawsuit containing similar allegations against NS and four other major railroads that was filed on March 25, 2008, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff subject to a tolling agreement entered into in August 2008.

### Casualty Claims

Casualty claims include employee personal injury and occupational claims as well as third-party claims, all exclusive of legal costs. To aid in valuing its personal injury liability and determining the amount to accrue with respect to such claims during the year, NS' management utilizes studies prepared by an independent consulting actuarial firm. Job-related accidental injury and occupational claims are subject to the Federal Employers' Liability Act (FELA), which is applicable only to railroads. FELA's fault-based system produces results that are unpredictable and inconsistent as compared with a no-fault workers' compensation system. The variability inherent in this system could result in actual costs being different from the liability recorded. While the ultimate amount of claims incurred is dependent on future developments, in management's opinion, the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payments of claims and is supported by the most recent actuarial study. In all cases, NS records a liability when the expected loss for the claim is both probable and estimable.

The Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect long-term receivables for estimated recoveries from NS' insurance carriers for claims associated with the January 6, 2005, derailment in Graniteville, S.C. In the first quarter of 2011, NS received an unfavorable ruling for an arbitration claim with an insurance carrier, and was denied recovery of the contested portion (\$43 million) of the claim. As a result, NS recorded a \$43 million charge during the first quarter of 2011 for the receivables associated with the contested portion of the claim and a \$15 million charge for other receivables affected by the ruling for which recovery was no longer probable.

**Employee personal injury claims** – The largest component of casualties and other claims expense is employee personal injury costs. The independent actuarial firm engaged by NS provides quarterly studies to aid in valuing its employee personal injury liability and estimating its employee personal injury expense. The actuarial firm studies NS' historical patterns of reserving for claims and subsequent settlements, taking into account relevant outside influences. The actuary uses the results of these analyses to estimate the ultimate amount of liability, which includes amounts for incurred but unasserted claims. NS adjusts its liability quarterly based upon management's assessment and the results of the study. The estimate of loss liabilities is subject to inherent limitation given the difficulty of predicting future events such as jury decisions, court interpretations, or

legislative changes and as such the actual loss may vary from the estimated liability recorded.

**Occupational claims** – Occupational claims (including asbestosis and other respiratory diseases, as well as conditions allegedly related to repetitive motion) are often not caused by a specific accident or event but rather allegedly result from a claimed exposure over time. Many such claims are being asserted by former or retired employees, some of whom have not been employed in the rail industry for decades. The independent actuarial firm provides an estimate of the occupational claims liability based upon NS' history of claim filings, severity, payments, and other pertinent facts. The liability is dependent upon management's judgments made as to the specific case reserves as well as judgments of the consulting independent actuarial firm in the periodic studies. The actuarial firm's estimate of ultimate loss includes a provision for those claims that have been incurred but not reported. This provision is derived by analyzing industry data and projecting NS' experience into the future as far as can be reasonably determined. NS adjusts its liability quarterly based upon management's assessment and the results of the study. However, it is possible that the recorded liability may not be adequate to cover the future payment of claims. Adjustments to the recorded liability are reflected in operating expenses in the periods in which such adjustments become known.

**Third-party claims** – NS records a liability for third-party claims including those for highway crossing accidents, trespasser and other injuries, automobile liability, property damage, and lading damage. The independent actuarial firm assists with the calculation of potential liability for third-party claims, except lading damage, based upon NS' experience including number and timing of incidents, amount of payments, settlement rates, number of open claims, and legal defenses. The actuarial estimate includes a provision for claims that have been incurred but have not yet been reported. Each quarter, NS adjusts its liability based upon management's assessment and the results of the study. Given the inherent uncertainty in regard to the ultimate outcome of third-party claims, it is possible that the actual loss may differ from the estimated liability recorded.

## **Environmental Matters**

NS is subject to various jurisdictions' environmental laws and regulations. It is NS' policy to record a liability where such liability or loss is probable and its amount can be estimated reasonably. Claims, if any, against third parties for recovery of cleanup costs incurred by NS are reflected as receivables (when collection is probable) in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are not netted against the associated NS liability. Environmental engineers regularly participate in ongoing evaluations of all known sites and in determining any necessary adjustments to liability estimates. NS also has an Environmental Policy Council, composed of senior managers, to oversee and interpret its environmental policy.

NS' Consolidated Balance Sheets include liabilities for environmental exposures of \$36 million at June 30, 2012, and \$35 million at December 31, 2011 (of which \$12 million is classified as a current liability at the end of each period). At June 30, 2012, the liability represents NS' estimate of the probable cleanup and remediation costs based on available information at 141 known locations and projects. As of that date, seven sites accounted for \$17 million of the liability, and no individual site was considered to be material. NS anticipates that much of this liability will be paid out over five years; however, some costs will be paid out over a longer period.

At 25 locations, one or more Norfolk Southern subsidiaries in conjunction with a number of other parties, have been identified as potentially responsible parties under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, or comparable state statutes that impose joint and several liability for cleanup costs. NS calculates its estimated liability for these sites based on facts and legal defenses applicable to each site and not solely on the bases of the potential joint liability.

With respect to known environmental sites (whether identified by NS or by the EPA, or comparable state authorities), estimates of NS' ultimate potential financial exposure for a given site or in the aggregate for all such sites are necessarily imprecise because of the widely varying costs of currently available cleanup techniques, the likely development of new cleanup technologies, unpredictable contaminant recovery and reduction rates associated with available clean-up technologies, the difficulty of determining in advance the nature and full extent of contamination and each potential participant's share of any estimated loss (and that participant's ability to bear it), and evolving statutory and regulatory standards governing liability.

The risk of incurring environmental liability – for acts and omissions, past, present, and future – is inherent in the railroad business. Some of the commodities in NS' traffic mix, particularly those classified as hazardous materials, pose special risks that NS and its subsidiaries work diligently to minimize. In addition, several NS

subsidiaries own, or have owned, land used as operating property, or which is leased and operated by others, or held for sale. Because environmental problems may exist on these properties that are latent or undisclosed, there can be no assurance that NS will not incur environmental liabilities or costs with respect to one or more of them, the amount and materiality of which cannot be estimated reliably at this time. Moreover, lawsuits and claims involving these and potentially other unidentified environmental sites and matters are likely to arise from time to time. The resulting liabilities could have a significant effect on financial position, results of operations, or liquidity in a particular year or quarter.

Based on its assessment of the facts and circumstances now known, management believes that it has recorded the probable and reasonably estimable costs for dealing with those environmental matters of which NS is aware. Further, management believes that it is unlikely that any known matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

## **Insurance**

Norfolk Southern obtains on behalf of itself and its subsidiaries insurance for potential losses for third-party liability and first-party property damages. NS is currently self-insured up to \$50 million and above \$1 billion per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage to third parties and up to \$25 million and above \$175 million per occurrence for property owned by NS or in NS' care, custody, or control.

## **Purchase Commitments**

At June 30, 2012, NS had outstanding purchase commitments totaling approximately \$507 million for long-term service contracts through 2019, as well as locomotives, freight cars, and track material in connection with its capital programs through 2014.

## **11. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In the first quarter of 2012, NS adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, "*Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*." This update requires that the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update does not change what items are reported in other comprehensive income or the requirement to report reclassification of items from other comprehensive income to net income.

In the first quarter of 2012, NS adopted ASU No. 2011-04, "*Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*." This update provides clarification about the application of existing fair value measurements and disclosure requirements, and expands certain other disclosures requirements.

## **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Norfolk Southern Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012, the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board

(United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 15, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/KPMG LLP  
KPMG LLP  
Norfolk, Virginia  
July 27, 2012

---

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes.

**OVERVIEW**

NS' second quarter net income was \$524 million in 2012, a decline from \$557 million in 2011, reflecting the absence of the prior year's non-recurring income tax-related benefits of \$63 million. Increased income taxes were partially offset by a \$59 million, or 7%, increase in income from railway operations, primarily driven by lower operating expenses. Earnings per share improved to \$1.60 from \$1.56 in the prior year reflecting fewer shares outstanding as a result of share repurchases (see below). As economic conditions slowly improved, growth in NS' general merchandise and intermodal traffic volumes were able to offset declines in NS' coal business. Railway operating revenues were relatively flat as increased traffic volumes were almost completely offset by lower average revenue per unit. The railway operating ratio (a measure of the amount of operating revenues consumed by operating expenses) improved to 67.5%, compared with 69.5% for the second quarter of 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities for the first six months of 2012 was \$1.7 billion, which along with cash on hand and proceeds from borrowings allowed for property additions, share repurchases, dividends, and debt repayments. In the first six months of 2012, 12.3 million shares of Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock (Common Stock) were repurchased at a total cost of \$850 million. Since the beginning of 2006, NS has repurchased and retired 121.9 million shares of Common Stock at a total cost of \$7.1 billion. At June 30, 2012, cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments totaled \$371 million.

**SUMMARIZED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Second quarter 2012 net income was \$524 million, down \$33 million, or 6%, compared with the same period last year. The decrease primarily resulted from a higher effective income tax rate due to the absence of \$59 million of income tax expense benefits in the second quarter of 2011. The decline was partially offset by a \$59 million increase in income from railway operations which was primarily composed of a \$51 million decrease in railway operating expenses.

For the first six months of 2012, net income was \$934 million, up \$52 million, or 6%, compared with the same period last year. Income from railway operations increased 14%, reflecting a 3% improvement in railway operating revenues and a 1% decline in operating expenses (which reflects the absence of the prior year's \$58 million unfavorable insurance arbitration ruling), offset in part by increased income tax expense.

Oil prices affect NS' results of operations in a variety of ways and can have an overall favorable or unfavorable impact in any particular period. In addition to the impact of oil prices on general economic conditions, traffic volume, and supplier costs, oil prices directly affect NS' revenues through market-based fuel surcharges and contract escalators (see "Railway Operating Revenues") and also affect fuel costs (see "Railway Operating Expenses"). For the second quarter of 2012, excluding the impact of decreased consumption, fuel surcharge revenue increased while fuel expense decreased. For the first six months of 2012, the increase in fuel surcharge revenue was greater than the increase in fuel expense. Future changes in oil prices may cause volatility in operating results that could be material to a particular year or quarter.

## DETAILED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Railway Operating Revenues

Second quarter railway operating revenues were \$2.9 billion in 2012, up \$8 million compared with the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, railway operating revenues were \$5.7 billion, up \$177 million, or 3%, compared with the same period last year. As shown in the following table, the increase for the quarter was the result of higher traffic volume, offset in part by lower average revenue per unit (which includes the effects of fuel surcharges). For the first six months, higher average revenue per unit and traffic volume drove the revenue increase. Fuel surcharges amounted to \$365 million in the second quarter (up \$4 million) and \$690 million for the first six months (up \$80 million).

	<b>Second Quarter 2012 vs. 2011</b>	<b>First Six Months 2012 vs. 2011</b>
	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
Traffic volume (units)	\$ 20	\$ 50
Revenue per unit	(12)	127
Total	\$ 8	\$ 177

Many of NS' negotiated fuel surcharges for coal and general merchandise traffic are based on the monthly average price of West Texas Intermediate Crude Oil (WTI Average Price). These surcharges are reset the first day of each calendar month based on the WTI Average Price for the second preceding calendar month. This two-month lag in applying WTI Average Price coupled with the change in fuel prices increased fuel surcharge revenue by approximately \$61 million for the second quarter and \$35 million for the first six months.

One of NS' customers, DuPont, has a rate reasonableness complaint pending before the Surface Transportation Board (STB) alleging that the NS tariff rates for transportation of regulated movements are unreasonable. NS disputes these allegations. Since June 1, 2009, NS has been billing and collecting from DuPont amounts based on the challenged tariff rates. Management presently expects resolution of the case to occur in 2013 and believes the estimate of reasonably possible loss will not have a material effect on NS' financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. With regard to rate cases, management records adjustments to revenues in the periods, if and when, such adjustments are probable and estimable.

Revenues, units and average revenue per unit for NS' market groups were as follows:

	<b>Second Quarter</b>			
	<b>Revenues</b>		<b>Units</b>	<b>Revenue per Unit</b>
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
			<i>(\$ per unit)</i>	

<b>Coal</b>	\$ 755	\$ 893	354.8	402.6	\$ 2,126	\$ 2,217
General merchandise:						
Agriculture/consumer/gov't	370	369	150.1	150.1	2,464	2,460
Chemicals	379	355	98.5	95.4	3,844	3,727
Metals and construction	369	320	180.2	173.3	2,047	1,846
Automotive	239	198	98.4	84.6	2,431	2,334
Paper/clay/forest	<u>199</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>76.9</u>	<u>78.9</u>	<u>2,593</u>	<u>2,420</u>
<b>General merchandise</b>	<u>1,556</u>	<u>1,433</u>	<u>604.1</u>	<u>582.3</u>	<u>2,576</u>	<u>2,461</u>
<b>Intermodal</b>	<u>563</u>	<u>540</u>	<u>840.4</u>	<u>802.0</u>	671	674
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 2,874</u>	<u>\$ 2,866</u>	<u>1,799.3</u>	<u>1,786.9</u>	1,597	1,604

	<b>First Six Months</b>					
	<b>Revenues</b>		<b>Units</b>		<b>Revenue per Unit</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	(\$ in millions)		(in thousands)		(\$ per unit)	
<b>Coal</b>	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,709	713.8	808.7	\$ 2,130	\$ 2,113
General merchandise:						
Agriculture/consumer/gov't	740	720	302.4	305.7	2,446	2,357
Chemicals	741	693	194.5	191.1	3,808	3,629
Metals and construction	711	589	345.7	321.7	2,057	1,830
Automotive	465	375	194.7	163.2	2,388	2,297
Paper/clay/forest	<u>395</u>	<u>375</u>	<u>153.6</u>	<u>158.8</u>	<u>2,573</u>	<u>2,361</u>
<b>General merchandise</b>	<u>3,052</u>	<u>2,752</u>	<u>1,190.9</u>	<u>1,140.5</u>	<u>2,563</u>	<u>2,413</u>
<b>Intermodal</b>	<u>1,090</u>	<u>1,025</u>	<u>1,625.4</u>	<u>1,548.9</u>	671	662
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 5,663</u>	<u>\$ 5,486</u>	<u>3,530.1</u>	<u>3,498.1</u>	1,604	1,568

## Coal

Coal revenues decreased \$138 million, or 15%, in the second quarter, reflecting a 12% decrease in traffic volume and a 4% decline in average revenue per unit as compared with the same period last year. For the first six months, coal revenues decreased \$188 million, or 11%, reflecting a 12% decline in traffic volume, partially offset by a 1% improvement in average revenue per unit. Coal tonnage by market was as follows:

	<b>Second Quarter</b>		<b>First Six Months</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	(tons in thousands)			
Utility	23,527	29,455	49,788	60,965
Export	8,530	7,938	15,254	15,376
Domestic metallurgical	5,019	4,859	9,591	8,633
Industrial	<u>1,880</u>	<u>1,906</u>	<u>3,801</u>	<u>3,731</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>38,956</u>	<u>44,158</u>	<u>78,434</u>	<u>88,705</u>

Utility coal tonnage decreased 20% in the second quarter and 18% in the first six months, reflecting competition from low natural gas prices and reduced electrical demand in NS-served regions. Export coal tonnage increased 7% in the second quarter due to strong thermal shipments, but decreased 1% in the first six months. Both periods were negatively impacted by the return of Australian supply and weaker global demand for steel production. Domestic metallurgical coal tonnage was up 3% in the second quarter and 11% in the first six



months, as domestic steel production improved and a softening export coal market increased coking coal availability for the domestic market.

Coal revenues for the remainder of the year are expected to decrease compared to last year due to lower average revenue per unit and lower traffic volumes.

## **General Merchandise**

General merchandise revenues increased \$123 million, or 9%, in the second quarter and \$300 million, or 11%, in the first six months as compared with the same periods last year. Both increases reflect improvements in average revenue per unit (up 5% for the quarter and 6% for the first six months) and traffic volume (up 4% in both periods).

Agriculture, consumer products, and government volume was flat during the second quarter, but decreased 1% for the first six months. The first six months reflected reduced corn shipments driven by plant closures and fewer shipments of fertilizer due to certain network classification changes. These volume declines were offset in part by more revenue movements of empty equipment, as well as more shipments of corn-based feed to Texas.

Chemicals volume increased 3% in the second quarter and 2% for the first six months, reflecting more shipments of crude oil, additional shipments of liquefied petroleum gas, and improved shipments of plastics driven by increased demand for plastic bottles. These volume increases were offset in part by fewer shipments of rock salt as the recent mild winter resulted in higher inventory levels, as well as reduced shipments of chlor-alkali traffic due to soft domestic demand for chlorine.

Metals and construction volume increased 4% in the second quarter and 7% for the first six months, reflecting higher shipments of fractionating sand for natural gas drilling, as well as more shipments of coil and scrap metal driven by increased steel and automotive production. The first six months also reflected more iron and steel shipments.

Automotive volume grew 16% in the second quarter and 19% for the first six months, primarily a result of increased North American light vehicle production at NS-served plants, in addition to new business.

Paper, clay, and forest products volume was down 3% in the second quarter and for the first six months, reflecting reduced shipments of miscellaneous wood driven by the loss of business. Both periods also reflect fewer shipments of pulp due to declining consumption.

General merchandise revenues for the remainder of the year are expected to increase compared to last year due to higher traffic volumes and slightly higher average revenue per unit.

## **Intermodal**

Intermodal revenues increased \$23 million, or 4%, in the second quarter, compared with the same period last year, reflecting a 5% increase in traffic volumes and a slight decline in average revenue per unit. For the first six months, intermodal revenues increased \$65 million, or 6%, reflecting a 5% increase in traffic volume and 1% improvement in average revenue per unit.

Domestic (which includes truckload and intermodal marketing companies' volumes) increased 10% for the second quarter and 11% for the first six months, reflecting continued highway conversions.

Premium business, which includes parcel and less-than-truckload (LTL) carriers, increased 3% for the second quarter and 1% for the first six months, reflecting stronger demand.

International traffic volume declined 1% for the second quarter and 2% in the first six months, reflecting the loss of business from a shipping line.

Triple Crown Services, a service with rail-to-highway trailers, experienced a volume decline of 1% in the second quarter due to reduced trailer inventory but an increase of 1% for the first six months, reflecting stronger

demand.

Intermodal revenues for the remainder of the year are expected to increase, reflecting higher traffic volumes partially offset by lower average revenue per unit.

### Railway Operating Expenses

Second quarter railway operating expenses were \$1.9 billion in 2012, down \$51 million, or 3%, compared to the same period last year. For the first six months, expenses were \$4.0 billion, down \$27 million, or 1%, compared to the same period last year. Both periods reflect improved network fluidity which reduced costs despite increased volumes. In addition, second quarter benefited from effective cost control. The first six months also reflects the absence of the prior year's first quarter \$58 million unfavorable arbitration ruling. These decreases were offset in part by higher depreciation expense as a result of an increased capital base.

Compensation and benefits expenses decreased \$15 million, or 2%, in the second quarter, but increased \$6 million in the first six months. Both periods reflect changes in:

- employee activity levels (down \$17 million for the quarter and \$12 million for the first six months),
- health and welfare benefit costs for employees (down \$7 million for the quarter and \$1 million for the first six months),
- payroll taxes (down \$4 million for the quarter, but up \$2 million for the first six months),
- incentive compensation (up \$4 million for the quarter and \$3 million for the first six months), and
- pay rates (up \$5 million for the quarter and \$16 million for the first six months).

The first six months also reflects lower stock-based compensation (down \$8 million) and higher pension costs (up \$5 million).

Purchased services and rents includes the costs of services provided by outside contractors, the net costs of operating joint (or leased) facilities with other railroads and the net cost of equipment rentals. This category of expenses decreased \$13 million, or 3%, for the second quarter and \$5 million, or 1%, for the first six months, reflecting lower equipment rents and haulage expenses, which were offset in part by increased costs associated with intermodal operations, and professional and consulting fees. The first six months also reflects increased advertising and utilities expenses.

Fuel expense, which includes the cost of locomotive fuel as well as other fuel used in railway operations, decreased \$22 million, or 5%, for the second quarter, but increased \$2 million for the first six months. The decrease for the quarter was principally the result of lower fuel prices (locomotive fuel prices declined 3%), which had an impact of \$14 million, as well as decreased fuel consumption (locomotive fuel consumption decreased 2%), which had an impact of \$8 million. The increase for the first six months was principally the result of higher fuel prices (locomotive fuel prices grew 3%), which had an impact of \$23 million, offset in part by decreased fuel consumption (locomotive fuel consumption declined 2%), which had an effect of \$21 million.

Materials and other expenses (including the estimates of costs related to personal injury, property damage, and environmental matters) decreased \$17 million, or 8%, in the second quarter and \$59 million, or 12%, for the first six months. Both periods reflect more favorable personal injury claims development. The quarter also reflects decreased costs for materials, whereas the first six months reflect the absence of the prior year's unfavorable Graniteville-related arbitration ruling, partially offset by higher costs associated with materials used for equipment and roadway repairs.

The following table shows the components of materials and other expenses:

	<b>Second Quarter</b>		<b>First Six Months</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>			
Materials	\$ 95	\$ 103	\$ 207	\$ 197
Casualties and other claims	30	43	68	142
Other	<u>80</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>155</u>

Total	<u>\$ 205</u>	<u>\$ 222</u>	<u>\$ 435</u>	<u>\$ 494</u>
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

The Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect long-term receivables for estimated recoveries from NS' insurance carriers for claims associated with the January 6, 2005, derailment in Graniteville, S.C. In the first quarter of 2011, NS received an unfavorable ruling for an arbitration claim with an insurance carrier, and was denied recovery of the contested portion (\$43 million) of the claim. As a result, NS recorded a \$43 million charge during the first quarter of 2011 for the receivables associated with the contested portion of the claim and a \$15 million charge for other receivables affected by the ruling for which recovery was no longer probable.

### **Other Income – Net**

Other income – net decreased \$3 million in the second quarter and \$1 million for the first six months, primarily reflecting higher interest expense on uncertain tax positions (up \$5 million for the quarter and \$8 million for the first six months) offset in part by higher other miscellaneous income items (up \$2 million for the quarter and \$7 million for the first six months).

### **Provision for Income Taxes**

The second quarter and year-to-date effective income tax rates were 37.8% and 37.6% in 2012, respectively, compared with 30.0% and 32.7%, respectively, for the same periods last year. The higher effective rates for both periods primarily reflect the absence of the prior year's favorable resolution of an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examination of NS' 2008 return and review of certain claims for refund (\$40 million) and the absence of a favorable reduction in deferred tax expense for state law changes (\$19 million).

In December 2010, the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act increased bonus depreciation to 100 percent for the period September 2010 through the end of 2011 and allows 50 percent bonus depreciation in 2012. Bonus depreciation does not affect NS' total provision for income taxes or effective tax rate, but does significantly lower current tax expense and the related cash outflows for income taxes paid. NS' consolidated income tax returns for 2009 and 2010 are being audited by the IRS and NS expects the examinations to be completed by the end of 2012. NS does not expect that the results of the examinations will have a material effect on income tax expense.

### **FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**

Cash provided by operating activities, NS' principal source of liquidity, was \$1.7 billion for the first six months of both 2012 and 2011. NS had working capital of \$110 million at June 30, 2012, compared with \$50 million at December 31, 2011, reflecting higher borrowings net of repayments. Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investment balances totaled \$371 million at June 30, 2012, and were invested in accordance with NS' corporate investment policy as approved by the Board of Directors. The portfolio contains securities that are subject to market risk. There are no limits or restrictions on NS' access to the assets. NS expects that cash on hand combined with cash flows from operations will be sufficient to meet its ongoing obligations. During the first quarter of 2012, NS issued \$600 million of 3.0% senior notes due 2022. Other than this item, there have been no material changes to the information on NS' future obligations contained in NS' Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Cash used in investing activities was \$932 million in the first six months of 2011, compared with \$801 million in the same period last year, reflecting an increase in property additions and a decrease in investment sales, net of purchases.

The Crescent Corridor consists of a program of projects for infrastructure and other facility improvements geared toward creating a seamless, high-capacity intermodal route spanning 11 states from New Jersey to Louisiana and offering truck-competitive service along several major interstate highway corridors, including I-81, I-85, I-20, I-40, I-59, I-78, and I-75. Based on the public benefits that stand to be derived in the form of highway congestion relief, NS plans to implement certain elements of the Crescent Corridor through a series of public-private partnerships. Currently, the Crescent Corridor has received or expects to receive a total of \$306 million in public capital funding commitments from the Commonwealths of Pennsylvania and Virginia, the State of Tennessee, the federal TIGER Stimulus Program and other federal funding sources related to projects in Alabama, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and North Carolina. With respect to the private funding component, NS currently anticipates spending up to \$393 million for the substantial completion of work on these projects, which

is expected in 2014, including planned investments for the remainder of 2012 of approximately \$104 million.

Cash used in financing activities was \$651 million in the first six months of 2012 compared with \$1.0 billion in the same period last year. The change reflected increased proceeds from borrowings and lower debt repayments and maturities, offset in part by increased share repurchases. Share repurchases were 12.3 million, totaling \$850 million in the first six months of 2012, compared to 11.6 million shares, totaling \$792 million in the same period last year. The timing and volume of future share repurchases will be guided by management's assessment of market conditions and other pertinent factors. Any near-term purchases under the program are expected to be made with internally generated cash, cash on hand, or proceeds from borrowings. NS' total debt-to-total capitalization ratio was 45.0% at June 30, 2012 and 43.2% at December 31, 2011.

As of June 30, 2012, NS has authority from its Board of Directors to issue an additional \$400 million of debt or equity securities through public or private sale. NS has on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Form S-3 automatic shelf registration statement for well-known seasoned issuers under which securities may be issued pursuant to this authority.

NS also has in place and available a \$750 million, five-year credit agreement expiring in 2016, which provides for borrowings at prevailing rates and includes covenants. NS had no amounts outstanding under this facility at June 30, 2012, and NS is in compliance with all of its covenants. In October 2011, NS renewed its \$350 million accounts receivable securitization program with a 364-day term to run until October 2012. There was \$100 million and \$200 million outstanding under this program at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## **APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates and assumptions may require significant judgment about matters that are inherently uncertain, and future events are likely to occur that may require management to make changes to these estimates and assumptions. Accordingly, management regularly reviews these estimates and assumptions based on historical experience, changes in the business environment, and other factors that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Management regularly discusses the development, selection, and disclosures concerning critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors. There have been no significant changes to the Application of Critical Accounting Estimates disclosure contained in NS' Form 10-K as of December 31, 2011.

## **OTHER MATTERS**

### **Labor Agreements**

More than 80% of NS' railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions. These agreements remain in effect until changed pursuant to the Railway Labor Act. NS largely bargains nationally in concert with other major railroads, represented by the National Carriers Conference Committee (NCCC). Moratorium provisions in the labor agreements govern when the railroads and the unions may propose changes.

The NCCC has concluded the round of bargaining that began in November 2009 and reached national agreements with all applicable labor unions. Although NS previously concluded separate agreements with each of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen (BLET) and the American Train Dispatchers Association (ATDA) that extend through December 31, 2014, the health and welfare provisions from the national agreements apply to the BLET and ATDA. NS bargains separately with its Ashtabula (Ohio) Docks longshoremen, who are represented by the International Longshoremen's Association (ILA) and do not participate in national bargaining. The present agreement remains in effect and no negotiations are in progress with ILA.

### **Market Risks**

NS manages its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates by issuing both fixed- and floating-rate debt instruments. At June 30, 2012, NS' debt subject to interest rate fluctuations totaled \$100 million. A 1% point increase in interest rates would increase NS' total annual interest expense related to all its variable debt by \$1 million. Management considers it unlikely that interest rate fluctuations applicable to these instruments will have a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

## **Environmental Matters**

NS is subject to various jurisdictions' environmental laws and regulations. It is NS' policy to record a liability where such liability or loss is probable and its amount can be estimated reasonably. Claims, if any, against third parties for recovery of cleanup costs incurred by NS, are reflected as receivables (when collection is probable) in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are not netted against the associated NS liability. Environmental engineers regularly participate in ongoing evaluations of all known sites and in determining any necessary adjustments to liability estimates. NS also has established an Environmental Policy Council, composed of senior managers, to oversee and interpret its environmental policy.

NS' Consolidated Balance Sheets included liabilities for environmental exposures in the amount of \$36 million and \$35 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, (of which \$12 million is classified as a current liability at the end of each period). At June 30, 2012, the liability represents NS' estimate of the probable cleanup and remediation costs based on available information at 141 known locations and projects. As of that date, seven sites accounted for \$17 million of the liability, and no individual site was considered to be material. NS anticipates that much of this liability will be paid out over five years; however, some costs will be paid out over a longer period.

At 25 locations, one or more NS subsidiaries in conjunction with a number of other parties have been identified as potentially responsible parties under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, or comparable state statutes that impose joint and several liability for cleanup costs. NS calculates its estimated liability for these sites based on facts and legal defenses applicable to each site and not solely on the basis of the potential joint liability.

With respect to known environmental sites (whether identified by NS or by the EPA or comparable state authorities), estimates of NS' ultimate potential financial exposure for a given site or in the aggregate for all such sites are necessarily imprecise because of the widely varying costs of currently available cleanup techniques, the likely development of new cleanup technologies, unpredictable contaminant recovery and reduction rates associated with available clean-up technologies, the difficulty of determining in advance the nature and full extent of contamination and each potential participant's share of any estimated loss (and that participant's ability to bear it), and evolving statutory and regulatory standards governing liability. NS estimates its environmental remediation liability on a site-by-site basis, using assumptions and judgments that management deems appropriate for each site. As a result, it is not practical to quantitatively describe the effects of changes in these many assumptions and judgments. NS has consistently applied its methodology of estimating its environmental liabilities.

Based on its assessment of the facts and circumstances now known, management believes that it has recorded the probable and reasonably estimable costs for dealing with those environmental matters of which NS is aware. Further, management believes that it is unlikely that any known matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

## **Inflation**

In preparing financial statements, U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require the use of historical cost that disregards the effects of inflation on the replacement cost of property. NS, a capital-intensive company, has most of its capital invested in such property. The replacement cost of these assets, as well as the related depreciation expense, would be substantially greater than the amounts reported on the basis of historical cost.

## **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that may be identified by the use of words like "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "unlikely," and "project." Forward-looking statements reflect management's good-faith evaluation of information currently available.

However, such statements are dependent on and, therefore, can be influenced by a number of external variables over which management has little or no control, including: transportation of hazardous materials as a common carrier by rail; acts of terrorism or war; general economic conditions; competition and consolidation within the transportation industry; the operations of carriers with which NS interchanges; disruptions to NS' technology infrastructure, including computer systems; labor difficulties, including strikes and work stoppages; commercial, operating, environmental, and climate change legislative and regulatory developments; results of litigation; natural events such as severe weather, hurricanes, and floods; unavailability of qualified personnel due to unpredictability of demand for rail services; fluctuation in supplies and prices of key materials, in particular diesel fuel; and changes in securities and capital markets. For a discussion of significant risk factors applicable to NS, see Part II, Item 1A "Risk Factors." Forward-looking statements are not, and should not be relied upon as, a guarantee of future performance or results, nor will they necessarily prove to be accurate indications of the times at or by which any such performance or results will be achieved. As a result, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. NS undertakes no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

The information required by this item is included in Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" under the heading "Market Risks."

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Norfolk Southern's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of NS' disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of June 30, 2012. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, NS' disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to NS (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in NS' periodic filings under the Exchange Act.

#### (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the second quarter of 2012, management did not identify any changes in NS' internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, NS' internal control over financial reporting.

---

## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

On November 6, 2007, various antitrust class actions filed against NS and other Class 1 railroads in various Federal district courts regarding fuel surcharges were consolidated in the District of Columbia by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. On June 21, 2012, the court certified the case as a class action. NS believes the allegations in the complaints are without merit and intends to vigorously defend the cases. NS does not believe the outcome of these proceedings will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, or liquidity. A lawsuit containing similar allegations against NS and four other major railroads that was filed on March 25, 2008, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff subject to a tolling agreement entered into in August 2008.

NS received a Notice of Violation (NOV) issued by the Tennessee Department of Environmental Conservation concerning soil runoff in connection with construction of an intermodal facility near Memphis, TN. Although NS will contest liability and the imposition of any penalties, this matter is described here consistent with SEC rules and requirements concerning governmental proceedings with respect to environmental laws and regulations. NS does not believe that the outcome of this proceeding will have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors included in NS' 2011 Form 10-K remain unchanged and are incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased(1)	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value)
			Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2)	of Shares (or Units) that may yet be the Plans or Programs(2)
April 1-30, 2012	1,615,750	\$68.13	1,615,750	8,119,421
May 1-31, 2012	2,959,092	\$68.08	2,956,676	5,162,745
June 1-30, 2012	<u>2,085,886</u>	\$66.41	<u>2,079,075</u>	3,083,670
Total	<u>6,660,728</u>		<u>6,651,501</u>	

- (1) Of this amount, 9,227 represents shares tendered by employees in connection with the exercise of stock options under the Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (2) On November 22, 2005, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program, pursuant to which up to 50 million shares of Common Stock could be purchased through December 31, 2015. On March 27, 2007, the Board of Directors amended the program and increased the number of shares that may be repurchased to 75 million, and shortened the repurchase term by five years to December 31, 2010. On July 27, 2010, NS' Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional 50 million shares of Common Stock through December 31, 2014.

## Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index beginning on page 32 for a description of the exhibits filed as part of this report.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### **NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION**

Registrant

Date:	July 27, 2012	<u>/s/Howard D. McFadden</u> Howard D. McFadden Corporate Secretary (Signature)
Date:	July 27, 2012	<u>/s/C. H. Allison, Jr.</u> C. H. Allison, Jr. Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) (Signature)

31

---

## EXHIBIT INDEX

15*	Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information.
31*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-014(a) Certifications.
32*	Section 1350 Certifications.
101*	The following financial information from Norfolk Southern Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) includes (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

\* Filed herewith.

32

---



The Board of Directors  
Norfolk Southern Corporation:

Re: Registration Statement Nos. 33-52031, 333-71321, 333-60722, 333-100936,  
333-109069 and 333-168414 on Form S-8 and 333-179569 on Form S-3.

With respect to the subject registration statements, we acknowledge our awareness of the use therein of our report dated July 27, 2012 related to our review of interim financial information.

Pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act), such report is not considered part of a registration statement prepared or certified by an independent registered public accounting firm, or a report prepared or certified by an independent registered public accounting firm within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

/s/KPMG LLP  
KPMG LLP  
Norfolk, Virginia  
July 27, 2012

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Charles W. Moorman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Norfolk Southern Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 27, 2012

/s/ Charles W. Moorman

---

Charles W. Moorman  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, James A. Squires, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Norfolk Southern Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 27, 2012

/s/ James A. Squires

---

James A. Squires

Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATIONS OF CEO AND CFO REQUIRED BY RULE 13A-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b) AND SECTION 1350 OF CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18 OF THE U.S. CODE

I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012, of Norfolk Southern Corporation fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Norfolk Southern Corporation.

Signed: /s/ Charles W. Moorman  
Charles W. Moorman  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer  
Norfolk Southern Corporation

Dated: July 27, 2012

I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012, of Norfolk Southern Corporation fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Norfolk Southern Corporation.

Signed: /s/ James A. Squires  
James A. Squires  
Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer  
Norfolk Southern Corporation

Dated: July 27, 2012

