SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant / / Filed by a Party other than the Registrant /X/

Check the appropriate box:

/ / Preliminary Proxy Statement

/ / Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only
 (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

/ / Definitive Proxy Statement

/X/ Definitive Additional Materials

/ / Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-11(c)
or Section 240.14a-12

CONRAIL INC.

(NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION

(NAME OF PERSON(S) FILING PROXY STATEMENT, IF OTHER THAN THE REGISTRANT)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

/X/ No fee required.

/ / Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

_ _____

(3)Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- -----

(5) Total fee paid:

_ _____

/ / Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

/ / Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

_ _____

(4) Date Filed:

[ADVERTISEMENT]

CSX/CONRAIL OVERLAPPING COMMUNITIES

[Graphic: Box with map of Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Ohio, and parts of West Virginia, Michigan, Indiana, and Illinois with names of cities with major rail service only from CSX and Conrail]

WHERE WILL CONRAIL EMPLOYEES BE IF COMPETITION DIES?

One look at this map shows why a combination with CSX isn't good for Conrail employees.

The 60-plus communities on it are those with major rail service only from CSX and Conrail. Indeed, CSX and Conrail run parallel from Ohio to Philadelphia and elsewhere. At the same time, Conrail's major Hollidaysburg and Altoona shops are within just 70 miles of CSX's facilities at Cumberland, Md. Redundancies like these could add up to lost jobs.

The sheer size of a CSX/Conrail combination would dominate rail transportation in the East, depriving shippers of the balanced competition that promotes safe and efficient service--service that encourages economic development and brings the marketplaces of the world to every shipper's doorstep. Lack of competition means lack of growth--lack of rail business, lack of train service, lack of maintenance: in short, fewer opportunities for employees.

With this in mind, Conrail employees have every reason to support a combination with Norfolk Southern. Norfolk Southern's system extends and complements Conrail's system, rather than duplicating it.

Conrail employees want to be part of a winning future. Norfolk Southern has the best employee safety record of any major carrier. The best operating ratio. A world-class infrastructure. A reputation for innovation and industrial development. It's why The Thoroughbred has been called one of America's most admired companies.1

Norfolk Southern and Conrail can both boast overfunded, healthy pension funds, ensuring peace of mind for retirees. CSX's claim to fame is its recent recognition as one of the "Top 50 Companies with the Largest Underfunded Pension Liability".2

CSX hasn't said much about these issues. It does not want to remind Conrail employees that your system overlaps CSX. It doesn't want to remind you that CSX/Conrail would create a virtual "no-competition zone" from eastern Ohio to the Atlantic. And it certainly doesn't want to remind you that Conrail's pension money could be merged with CSX's woefully underfunded pension fund.

IT'S TIME TO ACT

If you're a Conrail employee, take action. Let your board of directors, management, labor leaders and lawmakers know that you support jobs, growth, opportunity, competition and a healthy pension fund.

If you own shares of Conrail, say NO to the CSX/Conrail deal by voting at the stockholders' meeting December 23. Vote your shares AGAINST Conrail's proposal to "opt out" of the fair value statute. If you are a participant in the Conrail ESOP, instruct the ESOP Trustee to vote your shares AGAINST the proposal.

ESOP participants should know that their votes are especially important because each allocated share represents both a financial and voting interest by the participants equivalent to at least five shares. This is because allocated ESOP shares control the voting of both unallocated ESOP shares and Employee Benefits Trust shares. And remember--your vote is strictly confidential.

- FORTUNE, Annual Corporate Reputations Survey, March 4, 1996.
- 2 Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation: News Release 96-16, December 6, 1995.

Employees should also know that a number of senior Conrail executives have been selling Conrail shares not only pursuant to the CSX offer but also in the open market. Does this manifest a lack of confidence in the value and the chances of completion of CSX's proposed deal, which would have 75% of the remaining Conrail shares converted into CSX stock in the back-end merger?

[NORFOLK SOUTHERN LOGO]

The Thoroughbred of Transportation

[Copyright] Norfolk Southern Corporation, Three Commercial Place, Norfolk, VA 23510-2191. http://www.nscorp.com

[NORFOLK SOUTHERN LOGO]

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION

SHAREHOLDER PRESENTATION

NORFOLK SOUTHERN IS OFFERING CONRAIL SHAREHOLDERS SUPERIOR VALUE

_____ _____ NORFOLK SOUTHERN OFFER CSX OFFER _____ Nominal value of \$110.00 per o Nominal value of \$92.78 per 0 share(3) share ------\$8.0BN total value(4) 0 \$6.7BN total value(2) 0 _____ No equity risk -- all cash o Equity risk -- no voting trust, 0 75% stock _____ 0 No regulatory risk -- voting o Regulatory risk for stock portrust tion - -----_____ No synergy risk -- all cash o Synergy risk -- 75% stock 0 o Timing of back-end exchange 0 Immediate value uncertain

3 Based on 25% cash/75% stock, reflects CSX 12/2 closing price of \$46.875, represents discounts of 15.7% to Norfolk Southern offer

4 For remaining shares

- -----

NORFOLK SOUTHERN'S OFFER IS ALSO SUPERIOR FOR OTHER CONSTITUENCIES _ _____

EMPLOYEES

	Safety:	Norfolk Southern has established itself as the safest railroad in terms of employee safety for the past seven years
	Better fit:	Norfolk Southern's rail system has less overlap and duplications, providing greater opportunity for maintaining employment
	Pension funds:	Norfolk Southern and Conrail have overfunded pension plans; CSX has an underfunded pension plan
SHIPE	PERS	
	Safety:	Norfolk Southern has the lowest derailment ratio in the industry, resulting in superior service to customers
	Service:	Norfolk Southern is the most efficient railroad, providing the highest level of service to its shippers
	Balanced competition:	Norfolk Southern/Conrail would create a more balanced competitive landscape in the Eastern U.S.
	Innovation:	Norfolk Southern created innovative ventures such as the Triple Crown Intermodal Network using RoadRailer [trademark symbol] technology

CONRAIL'S BOARD CAN SATISFY THE REMAINING CONDITIONS TO NORFOLK SOUTHERN'S OFFER _ _____

х

Con			

Satisfied No Comment

Voting trust approval condition

Satisfied 11/18 pursuant to informal nonbinding written

			opinion by STB
HSR condition	х		Satisfied 11/18 pursuant to FTC Premerger Notification Office confirmation
Financing condition	х		Satisfied 11/15; over \$20.1 BN in commit- ments received
Subchapter F condition		х	Conrail Board action required
Rights condition		х	Conrail Board action required
CSX termination condition		х	Conrail Board action required

CSX'S OFFER -- MUCH LESS VALUE, REALLY HOSTILE TO SHAREHOLDERS - ------

Much less value			Really hostile		
Structure	Amount	Earliest receipt date	Hostile approach - coercive bid		
25% cash	\$110.00	Jan. 1997	o Hostile structure - multi-tier, front-end loaded		
75% equity (1.85619 shares)	\$87.01(1)	Jan. 1998(?)	o Hostile back-end uncertain timing AND value on 75% of the bid		
BLENDED VALUE:	\$92.78		o Hostile vote 5:00 p.m. the night before Christmas Eve		

1 Based on CSX 12/2 closing price of \$46.875

CSX'S OFFER NOW EXPOSES SHAREHOLDERS TO DOWNSIDE RISKS FOR 75% OF CONSIDERATION

0 Regulatory risk -- STB does not approve transaction -- STB imposes conditions unacceptable to CSX and Conrail

Timing risks 0

_ _____

Equity market risk 0

0 Synergy risk -- Synergy realization (gross number)
-- Synergy realization (net number; STB "give-ups")

SIGNIFICANT REGULATORY RISK IS BORNE BY CONRAIL SHAREHOLDERS IN THE CSX PROPOSAL

"2-to-1" points comparison

Cities with over 100,000 population

NS/CR

CSX/CR _ _____

Cities	Population(1)	General merchandise sales(1)(\$000s)	Cities	Population(1)	General merchandise sales(1) (\$000s)
Erie, PA	280,600	\$396,696	Baltimore, MD	2,465,700	\$2,442.491
Fort Wayne, IN	470,400	545,487	Dayton, OH	957,100	1,471,145
			Grand Rapids, MI	990,400	1,738,886
			Indianapolis, IN	1,473,300	1,937,260
			Philadelphia, PA	4,958,300	4,551,065
			Pittsburgh, PA	2,399,600	2,526,381
			Youngstown, OH	603,600	797,138
TOTALS	751,000	\$942,183		13,848,000 \$	15,464,366

"SHIPPERS, PORTS, AND CONGRESS OPPOSE CONRAIL SALE TO AN UNEXPECTED EXTENT . . . OPPOSITION IS LIKELY TO FORCE THE WINNING BIDDER INTO COSTLY CONCESSIONS, INCLUDING SELLING ASSETS AND ROUTES."

- -- The Wall Street Journal, November 27, 1996
- Source: Rand McNally, Commercial Atlas & Marketing Guide, 127th edition 1

CSX'S OFFER -- FAULTY SYNERGY MATHEMATICS

- value of 75% stock is highly dependent on EQUITY RISK: 0 synergies realized
- SYNERGY RISK: 0

	CSX (year 2000)	NSC (year 2000)
1st time	\$550 million	\$660 million
2nd time	\$730 million	\$660 million
3rd time	?	\$660 million

0 STB RISK:

- -- Market share dominance in CSX-Conrail transaction -- Significant market overlap in CSX-Conrail transaction -- Need for balanced competition
- 0 Because we believe CSX must give up more track and revenue than NSC, it is unlikely to have more synergies
 - CSX will have more losses from enhanced competition and therefore less net revenue enhancements CSX will have less track and revenue remaining and _ _
 - therefore less operating savings opportunities

VALUE IMPLICATIONS ON BACKEND

NO COMPLETION OF BACK-END		EQUITY MARKET RISK	EQUITY MARKET RISK		
Back-end value	\$71.00	Back-end value	\$73.96		
Discount to NS all cash offer	(35.0%)	Discount to NS all cash offer	(32.8%)		

ASSUMPTIONS

ASSUMPTIONS

- o CRR reverts back to pre-CSX o Equity market (including CSX) announcement price of \$71.00 drops 15% before completion of back-end exchange
 - STB fails to approve or approves on terms unacceptable to CSX

YOU MUST VOTE "NO" ON OPT-OUT TO PROTECT THE VALUE OF YOUR SHARES

NO VOTE

- o Helps secure higher value for shares
- o Sends important signal on shareholder rights

o Helps NS win

- -- More immediate value -- No up-front risk
- -- No back-end risk
- -- Superior transportation system
- -- Superior equity investment opportunity

YES VOTE

- o CSX wins despite
 - -- Delivering inferior value
 - -- Loading substantial risk on shareholders
 - -- Using coercive structure to squeeze out owners at a lower price

Every vote counts -To realize the benefits available you must vote against opt-out
