FORM 10-Q

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the quarterly period ended MARCH 31, 2004
- () TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 1-8339

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

23510-2191

Zip Code

(757) 629-2680

52-1188014

Three Commercial Place

Norfolk , Virginia

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

No Change

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. (X) Yes () No

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of The Exchange Act).

(X) Yes () No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of Common Stock, as of the last practicable date:

Class

Common Stock (par value \$1.00)

Outstanding as of March 31, 2004

392,068,916 (excluding 21,016,125 shares held by registrant's consolidated subsidiaries)

Page

Part I.	Financial information:				
	Item 1.	Financial statements:			
		Consolidated Statements of Income Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003	3		
		Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2004 and Dec. 31, 2003	4		
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended March 31, 2004 and 2003	5		
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6		
		Independent Accountants' Review Report	15		
	Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16		
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks	22		
	ltem 4.	Controls and Procedures	22		
Part II.	Other Information:				
	ltem 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	22		
Signatures			23		
Exhibit Index			23		

2

Item 1. Financial Statements.

-

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	<u>2004</u> <u>2003</u>			<u>2003</u>	
	(\$ in millions except per share				
Railway operating revenues					
Coal	\$	398	\$	354	
General merchandise		967		918	
Intermodal		328		289	
Total railway operating revenues		1,693		1,561	
Railway operating expenses					
Compensation and benefits		545		526	
Materials, services and rents		365		360	
Conrail rents and services (Note 2)		102		107	
Depreciation		129		127	
Diesel fuel	107			104	
Casualties and other claims		40		51	
Other		59		55	
Total railway operating expenses		1,347		1,330	
Income from railway operations		346		231	
Other income - net		10		21	
Interest expense on debt		(121)		(127)	
Income from continuing operations before					
income taxes and accounting changes		235		125	
Provision for income taxes		77		40	
Income from continuing operations before					
accounting changes		158		85	

Discontinued operations - taxes on sale of		
motor carrier (Note 6)		10
Cumulative effect of changes in accounting		
principles, net of taxes (Note 7)		114
Net income	\$ 158	\$ 209
Per share amounts, basic and diluted (Note 8)		
Income from continuing operations before		
accounting changes	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.22
Discontinued operations		0.03
Cumulative effect of changes in accounting		
principles		0.29
Netincome	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.54
Dividends	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited) -

	March 31, <u>2004</u>		Dec. 31, <u>2003</u>	
		(\$ in mill	ions)	
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	107	\$	284
Accounts receivable, net (Note 3)		765		695
Materials and supplies		97		92
Deferred income taxes		197		189
Other current assets		156		165
Total current assets		1,322		1,425
Investment in Conrail (Note 2)		6,275		6,259
Properties less accumulated depreciation		11,819		11,779
Other assets		1,176		1,133
Total assets	\$	20,592	\$	20,596
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	884	\$	948
Income and other taxes		233		199
Due to Conrail (Note 2)		89		81
Other current liabilities		270		213
Current maturities of long-term debt		210		360
Total current liabilities		1,686		1,801
Long-term debt		6,671		6,800
Other liabilities		1,065		1,080
Due to Conrail (Note 2)		785		716
Deferred income taxes		3,263		3,223
Total liabilities		13,470		13,620
Stockholders' equity:				
Common stock \$1.00 per share par value, 1,350,000,000				
shares authorized; issued 413,085,041 and				
412,168,988 shares, respectively		413		412
Additional paid-in capital		539		521
Unearned restricted stock (Note 1)		(12)		(5)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (Note 9)		(37)		(44)
Retained income		6,239		6,112
Less treasury stock at cost, 21,016,125 shares		(20)		(20)
Total stockholders' equity		7,122		6,976
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	20,592	\$	20,596

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

-

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

-

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	<u>2004</u>	2003	
	(\$ in	millions)	
Cash flows from operating activities	¢ 450	¢ 000	
Net income	\$ 158	\$ 209	
Reconciliation of net income to net cash			
provided by operating activities:			
Net cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles		(114)	
Depreciation	132	131	
Deferred income taxes	28		
		(6)	
Equity in earnings of Conrail	(15)		
Gains and losses on properties and investments	(1)		
Income from discontinued operations		(10)	
Changes in assets and liabilities affecting operations:			
Accounts receivable (Note 3)	(71)	(106)	
Materials and supplies	(5)		
Other current assets	20	24	
Current liabilities other than debt	40	73	
Other - net	(28)	(47)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	258	133	
Cash flows from investing activities			
Property additions	(172)	(197)	
Property sales and other transactions	1	3	
Investments, including short-term	(23)	(20)	
Investment sales and other transactions	1	4	
Net cash used for investing activities	(193)	(210)	
Cash flows from financing activities			
Dividends	(32)	(27)	
Common stock issued - net	5	1	
Proceeds from borrowings	88	87	
Debt repayments	(303)		
Net cash provided by (used for) financing	(242)		
activities			

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(177)	(56)
Cash and cash equivalents	204	404
At beginning of year	284	184
At end of period	\$ 107 \$	128
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 71 \$	72
Income taxes	\$ \$	14

5

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries' (NS) financial position as of March 31, 2004, and its results of operations and its cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Although management believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to make the information not misleading, these Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with: (a) the financial statements and notes included in NS' latest Annual Report on Form 10-K and (b) any Current Reports on Form 8-K.

1. Stock-Based Compensation

During the first quarter of 2004, a committee of nonemployee directors of NS' Board granted stock options, performance share units (PSUs) and restricted shares pursuant to the stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan. Options to purchase 4,580,500 shares were granted with an option price of \$22.02, which was the fair market value of Common Stock on the date of grant. The options have a term of ten years, but may not be exercised prior to the first anniversary of the date of grant. PSUs granted totaled 831,000 and will be awarded based on achievement of certain predetermined corporate performance goals at the end of a three-year cycle. One-half of any PSUs earned will be paid in the form of shares of Common Stock with the other half to be paid in cash. Restricted shares granted totaled 359,040 and have a three-year vesting and restriction period.

NS applies the intrinsic value recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations in accounting for its stock-based employee compensation plans. As a result, the grants of PSUs and restricted shares resulted in charges to net income, while the stock-option grant did not result in a charge to net income. The portion of the restricted stock that has not yet been earned is shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity on NS' Consolidated Balance Sheet. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if NS had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" to stock-based employee compensation:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	<u>200</u>	<u>)4</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>03</u>	
	(\$ in .	millions, exc	ept per sh	are)	
Net income, as reported	\$	158	\$	209	
Add: Stock-based employee compensation					
expense included in reported net income,					
net of related tax effects		2		2	
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation					
expense determined under fair value method,					
net of related tax effects		(7)		(8)	
Pro forma net income	\$	153	\$	203	
Earnings per share:					
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$	0.40	\$	0.54	

\$

2. Investment in Conrail and Operations Over Its Lines

Overview

Through a limited liability company, Norfolk Southern and CSX Corporation (CSX) jointly own Conrail Inc. (Conrail), whose primary subsidiary is Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC), a principal freight railroad in the Northeast. NS has a 58% economic and 50% voting interest in the jointly owned entity, and CSX has the remainder of the economic and voting interests. From time to time, Norfolk Southern and CSX, as the indirect owners of Conrail, may have to make capital contributions, loans or advances to Conrail under the terms of the Transaction Agreement among NS, CSX and Conrail.

Norfolk Southern's railroad subsidiary, Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), operates as a part of its rail system the routes and assets of Pennsylvania Lines LLC (PRR), a wholly owned subsidiary of CRC, pursuant to operating and lease agreements. CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) operates the routes and assets of another CRC subsidiary (NSC) under comparable terms.

Operation of Conrail's Lines

-

The June 1999 Operating Agreement between NSR and PRR governs substantially all track assets operated by NSR and has an initial 25-year term, renewable at the option of NSR for two five-year terms. Payments under the Opera-ting Agreement are subject to adjustment every six years to reflect changes in values. NSR also has leased or subleased equipment for varying terms from PRR. Costs necessary to operate and maintain the PRR assets, including leasehold improvements, are borne by NSR. NSR receives all freight revenues on the PRR lines.

NSR and CSXT also have entered into agreements with CRC governing other properties that continue to be owned and operated by CRC (the Shared Assets Areas). NSR and CSXT pay CRC a fee for joint and exclusive access to the Shared Assets Areas. In addition, NSR and CSXT pay, based on usage, the costs incurred by CRC to operate the Shared Assets Areas.

Conrail Corporate Reorganization

NS, CSX and Conrail are jointly seeking to reorganize Conrail and establish direct ownership and control by NSR and CSXT of PRR and NYC, respectively. The proposed reorganization would replace the operating agreements described above and allow NSR and CSXT to directly own and operate PRR and NYC, respectively. The reorganization would not involve the Shared Assets Areas, and would have no effect on the competitive rail service provided in the Shared Assets Areas. Conrail would continue to own, manage and operate the Shared Assets Areas as previously approved by the Surface Transportation Board (STB).

Consummation of the reorganization requires a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), the approval of the STB and filings with the Securities Exchange Commission. In addition, NS, CSX and Conrail must obtain the consent of Conrail's debt holders to carry out the transaction and obtain a valuation of PRR and of NYC.

In 2003, the IRS issued a ruling that the reorganization as contemplated would qualify as a tax-free distribution. In order to implement the reorganization approved by the IRS, the companies have engaged an investment banking firm to provide a valuation. Also in 2003, the STB granted its authorization to carry out the reorganization, subject to a condition requiring NS, CSX and Conrail to either: (i) obtain the voluntary consent of the Conrail debt holders; or (ii) propose further proceedings to determine whether the terms offered to the Conrail debt holders are fair, just and reasonable. NSR and CSXT have filed registration statements on Form S-4 with the SEC to allow a proposed exchange offer relating to Conrail's unsecured debt (see below).

As a part of the proposed reorganization, Conrail would undertake a restructuring of its existing unsecured and secured public indebtedness. There are currently two series of unsecured public debentures with an outstanding principal amount of \$800 million and 13 series of secured debt with an outstanding principal amount of approximately \$321 million. Guaranteed debt securities of two newly formed corporate subsidiaries of NSR and CSXT will be offered in a 58%/42% ratio in exchange for Conrail's unsecured debentures. Upon completion of the proposed transaction, the new debt securities would become direct unsecured obligations of NSR and CSXT, respectively, and would rank equally with all existing and future senior unsecured debt obligations, if any, of NSR and CSXT. These

new debt securities will have maturity dates, interest rates and principal and interest payment dates identical to those of the respective series of Conrail's unsecured debentures. In addition, these new debt securities will have covenants substantially similar to those of the publicly traded debt securities of NS and CSX, respectively.

Conrail's secured debt and lease obligations will remain obligations of Conrail and are expected to be supported by new leases and subleases which, upon completion of the proposed transaction, would be the direct lease and sublease obligations of NSR or CSXT.

NS, CSX and Conrail are diligently working to complete all steps necessary to consummate the Conrail corporate reorganization in 2004. Upon consummation of the proposed transaction, NS' investment in Conrail will no longer include amounts related to PRR and NYC. Instead, the assets and liabilities of PRR will be reflected in their respective line items in NS' Consolidated Balance Sheet, and any amounts due to PRR would be extinguished. On the Consolidated Income Statement, Conrail Rents and Services will be reduced to reflect only the expenses associated with the Shared Assets Areas, and other expenses, primarily the depreciation related to the PRR assets, will be reflected in their respective line items.

NS expects to record the proposed transaction at fair value. The preliminary results of a valuation of PRR and NYC indicate that NS' carrying amount for its interests in PRR and NYC is within the range of fair values. The final results of the valuation discussed above, or of other appraisals or valuations that may be obtained to assist in the accounting for the transaction, may result in a gain or loss being recognized upon consummation of the transaction.

Investment in Conrail

NS is applying the equity method of accounting to its investment in Conrail in accordance with APB Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock." NS is amortizing the excess of the purchase price over Conrail's net equity using the principles of purchase accounting, based primarily on the estimated remaining useful lives of Conrail's depreciable property and equipment, including the related deferred tax effect of the differences in tax and accounting bases for certain assets. At March 31, 2004, the difference between NS' investment in Conrail and its share of Conrail's underlying net equity was \$3.7 billion.

NS' Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2004 includes \$29 million of liabilities related to the Conrail transaction, principally for contractual obligations to Conrail employees imposed by the Surface Transportation Board when it approved the transaction. Through March 31, 2004, NS has paid \$174 million of these costs.

Related-Party Transactions

NS provides certain general and administrative support functions to Conrail, the fees for which are billed in accordance with several service-provider arrangements and amount to approximately \$7 million annually.

"Conrail rents and services" includes: (1) expenses for amounts due to PRR and CRC for use by NSR of operating properties and equipment and operation of the Shared Assets Areas and (2) NS' equity in the earnings of Conrail, net of amortization.

A significant portion of payments made to PRR is borrowed back from a subsidiary of PRR under a note due in 2032. Amounts outstanding under this note comprise the long-term balance of "Due to Conrail." The interest rate for these loans is variable and was 1.6% at March 31, 2004. Upon consummation of the proposed reorganization, these loans would be extinguished. The current balance "Due to Conrail" is composed of amounts related to expenses included in "Conrail rents and services," as discussed above.

Summary Financial Information - Conrail

The following historical cost basis financial information should be read in conjunction with Conrail's audited financial statements, included as Exhibit 99 with NS' 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	<u>2</u>	<u>004</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>)03</u>
		(\$ in mill	lions)	
Operating revenues	\$	230	\$	226
Operating expenses excluding depreciation		(82)		(82)
Depreciation		(79)		(81)
Operating income		69		63
Interest expense on debt		(24)		(25)
Other income - net		26		21
Income before income taxes and accounting change		71		59
Provision for income taxes		(26)		(22)
Income before accounting change		45		37
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles, net of				
taxes		(1)		40
Netincome	\$	44	\$	77

Note: Conrail adopted FIN No. 46(R), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," effective Jan. 1, 2004, and recorded a \$1 million net adjustment for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting on years prior to 2004. Conrail adopted SFAS No. 143, effective Jan. 1, 2003, and recorded a \$40 million net adjustment for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting on years prior to 2003. NS excluded this amount from its determination of equity in earnings of Conrail because an amount related to Conrail is included in NS' cumulative effect adjustment for SFAS No. 143.

-

Summarized Consolidated Balance Sheets - Conrail

	March 31,		Dec. 31,	
		<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	
		(\$ in m	illions)	
Assets:				
Current assets	\$	272	\$	257
Noncurrent assets		8,041		7,959
Total assets	\$	8,313	\$	8,216
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	56	\$	58
Other current liabilities		260		221
Long-term debt		1,094		1,067
Other noncurrent liabilities		2,405		2,416
Stockholders' equity		4,498		4,454
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	8,313	\$	8,216

Accounts Receivable

A bankruptcy-remote special purpose subsidiary of NS sells without recourse undivided ownership interests in a pool of accounts receivable. The buyers have a priority collection interest in the entire pool of receivables, and as a result, NS retains credit risk to the extent the pool of receivables exceeds the amount sold. NS services and collects the receivables on behalf of the buyers; however, no servicing asset or liability has been recognized because the benefits of servicing are estimated to be just adequate to compensate NS for its responsibilities. Payments collected from sold receivables can be reinvested in new accounts receivable on behalf of the buyers. Should NS' credit rating drop below investment grade, the buyers have the right to discontinue this reinvestment.

While there were some sales during the first quarter of 2004, there were no accounts receivable sold under this arrangement at March 31, 2004, and at Dec. 31, 2003. The change in "Accounts receivable" included on

9

the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows related to receivable sales was \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2004, compared with \$(30) million for the same period of 2003. The fees associated with the sales, which are based on the buyers' financing costs, are included in "Other income - net."

NS' allowance for doubtful accounts was \$7 million at March 31, 2004 , and at Dec. 31, 2003 .

4. Derivative Financial Instruments

NS uses derivative financial instruments to reduce the risk of volatility in its diesel fuel costs and to manage its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. NS does not engage in the trading of derivatives. Management has determined that its derivative financial instruments qualify as either fair-value or cash-flow hedges, having values that highly correlate with the underlying hedged exposures, and has designated such instruments as hedging transactions. Credit risk related to the derivative financial instruments is considered to be minimal and is managed by requiring high credit standards for counterparties and periodic settlements.

Diesel Fuel Hedging

NS has a program to hedge a significant portion of its diesel fuel consumption. The intent is to assist in the management of NS' aggregate risk exposure to fuel price fluctuations, which can significantly affect NS' operating margins and profitability. In order to minimize this risk, NS has a continuous hedging strategy using a series of swaps in order to lock in the purchase prices of some of its diesel fuel. Management has designated these derivative instruments as cash-flow hedges of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows attributable to fluctuations in diesel fuel prices.

Following is a summary of NS' diesel fuel swaps:

	First Quarter			
		<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	
Number of swaps entered into during the first quarter		72	72	
Approximate number of gallons hedged (millions)		92	94	
Approximate average price per gallon of Nymex				
No. 2 heating oil		\$0.83	\$0.74	
	Remainder of			
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	

Percent of estimated future diesel fuel consumption

Hedges are placed each month by competitive bid among selected counterparties. The goal of this hedging strategy is to average fuel costs over an extended period of time while minimizing the incremental cost of hedging. The program provides that NS will not enter into any fuel hedges with a duration of more than 36 months, and that no more than 80% of NS' average monthly fuel consumption will be hedged for any month within any 36-month period. Diesel fuel costs represented 8% of NS' operating expenses in each of the first quarters of 2004 and 2003.

NS' fuel hedging activity resulted in net decreases in diesel fuel expense of \$23 million for first quarter 2004 and \$26 million for first quarter 2003. Ineffectiveness, or the extent to which changes in the fair values of the heating oil contracts do not offset changes in the fair values of the expected diesel fuel transactions, was approximately \$3 million in the first quarter of 2004 and \$1 million in the first quarter of 2003.

Interest Rate Hedging

NS manages its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates by issuing both fixed and floating-rate debt instruments, and by entering into interest rate hedging transactions. NS had \$177 million, or 3%, and \$186 million, or 3%, of its fixed rate debt portfolio hedged at March 31, 2004, and Dec. 31, 2003, respectively, using interest rate swaps that qualify for and are designated as fair-value hedge transactions. These swaps have been effective in hedging the changes in fair value of the related debt arising from changes in interest rates, and accordingly, there has been no impact on earnings resulting from ineffectiveness associated with these derivative transactions.

10

Fair Values

The fair values of NS' diesel fuel derivative instruments at March 31, 2004 and Dec. 31, 2003, were determined based upon current fair market values as quoted by third party dealers. Fair values of interest rate swaps were determined based upon the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the appropriate implied spot rate from the spot rate yield curve. Fair value adjustments are noncash transactions, and accordingly, are excluded from the

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. "Accumulated other comprehensive loss," a component of "Stockholders' equity," included unrealized gains of \$50 million and \$40 million (pretax) at March 31, 2004, and Dec. 31, 2003, respectively, relating to an increase in the fair value of derivative fuel hedging transactions that will terminate within twelve months of the respective dates.

The asset and liability positions of NS' outstanding derivative financial instruments were as follows:

	March 31,		Dec. 31,	
	<u>2</u>	<u>004</u>	<u>2003</u>	
	(\$ in millions)			
Interest rate hedges				
Gross fair market asset position	\$	16	\$	16
Gross fair market (liability) position				
Fuel hedges				
Gross fair market asset position		59		45
Gross fair market (liability) position	_	(1)		
Total net asset position	\$	74	\$	61

5. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

	Three months ended March 31,							
	<u>2004</u> <u>2003</u>			<u>2004</u>		2	003	
	Pension Benefits				Other Benefits			t <u>s</u>
Service cost	\$	4	\$	5	\$	4	\$	3
Interest cost		22		22		11		8
Expected return on plan assets		(37)		(40)		(4)		(3)
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)		1		1		(3)		(1)
Recognized net actuarial gains (losses)		1		1				
Amortization of unrecognized net (gain) loss			_			4		4
Net (benefit) cost	\$	(9)	\$	(11)	\$	12	\$	11

Contributions for Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

NS previously disclosed in its consolidated financial statements for the year ended Dec. 31, 2003, that it expected to contribute \$7 million to its unfunded pension plans and \$42 million to its other postretirement benefit plans in 2004. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, \$1 million and \$10 million of contributions have been made to its unfunded pension plans and its other postretirement benefit plans, respectively. NS presently anticipates contributing an additional \$6 million to its unfunded pension plans for a total of \$7 million and an additional \$32 million to fund its postretirement benefit plans in 2004 for a total of \$42 million.

6. Discontinued Operations in 2003

First-quarter 2003 results include an additional after-tax gain of \$10 million, or 3 cents per share, related to the 1998 sale of NS' motor carrier subsidiary, North American Van Lines, Inc. This noncash gain resulted from the resolution of tax issues related to the transaction.

11

7. Changes in Accounting Principles in 2003

NS adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," (SFAS No. 143) effective Jan. 1, 2003, and recorded a \$110 million net adjustment (\$182 million before taxes) for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting on years prior to 2003. Pursuant to SFAS No. 143, the cost to remove crossties must be recorded as an expense when incurred; previously these removal costs were accrued as a component of depreciation.

NS also adopted FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," (FIN No. 46) effective Jan. 1, 2003, and recorded a \$4 million net adjustment (\$6 million before taxes) for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting on years prior to 2003. Pursuant to FIN No. 46, NS has consolidated a special-purpose entity that leases certain locomotives to NS. This entity's assets and liabilities at Jan. 1, 2003, included \$169 million of locomotives and \$157 million of debt related to their purchase as well as a \$6 million minority interest liability.

8. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the number of weighted-average shares outstanding used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>		
	(in millions)			
Weighted-average shares outstanding Dilutive effect of outstanding options, performance share units and restricted stock	391.2	389.3		
(as determined by the application of				
the treasury stock method)	2.8	1.4		
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	394.0	390.7		

The calculations exclude options on 22 million shares in 2004 and 31 million shares in 2003 whose exercise price exceeded the average market price of Common Stock for the period. There are no adjustments to "Net income" for the diluted earnings per share computations.

9. Comprehensive Income

NS' total comprehensive income was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
		<u>2004</u>		<u>2003</u>	
		(\$ in n	nillions))	
Netincome	\$	158	\$		209
Other comprehensive income (loss)		7	_		(3)
Total comprehensive income	\$	165	\$		206

For NS, "Other comprehensive income (loss)" reflects the net fair value adjustments to certain derivative financial instruments and unrealized gains and losses on certain investments in debt and equity securities.

12

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Lawsuits

Norfolk Southern and certain subsidiaries are defendants in numerous lawsuits and other claims relating principally to railroad operations. When management concludes that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated, it is

accrued through a charge to expenses. While the ultimate amount of liability incurred in any of these lawsuits and claims is dependent on future developments, in management's opinion the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payment of such liability and claims. However, the final outcome of any of these lawsuits and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, and unfavorable or unexpected outcomes could result in additional accruals that could be significant to results of operations in a particular year or quarter. Any adjustments to the recorded liability will be reflected in expenses in the periods in which such adjustments are known.

Casualty Claims

NS is generally self-insured for casualty claims. NS has insurance, subject to limits, for catastrophic events. The casualty claims liability is determined actuarially, based upon claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. While the ultimate amount of claims incurred is dependent on future developments, in management's opinion, the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payments of claims. However, it is possible that the recorded liability may not be adequate to cover the future payment of claims. Adjustments to the recorded liability are reflected in operating expenses in the periods in which such adjustments are known.

Environmental Matters

NS is subject to various jurisdictions' environmental laws and regulations. It is NS' policy to record a liability where such liability or loss is probable and its amount can be estimated reasonably. Claims, if any, against third parties for recovery of cleanup costs incurred by NS are reflected as receivables (when collection is probable) on the balance sheet and are not netted against the associated liability. Environmental engineers regularly participate in ongoing evaluations of all known sites and in determining any necessary adjustments to liability estimates. NS also has established an Environmental Policy Council, composed of senior managers, to oversee and interpret its environmental policy.

NS' balance sheets included liabilities for environmental exposures in the amount of \$24 million at March 31, 2004, and \$25 million at Dec. 31, 2003, (of which \$8 million was accounted for as a current liability for each period). At March 31, 2004, the liability represented NS' estimate of the probable cleanup and remediation costs based on available information at 112 known locations. On that date, 10 sites accounted for \$12 million of the liability, and no individual site was considered to be material. NS anticipates that much of this liability will be paid out over five years; however, some costs will be paid out over a longer period.

At some of the 112 locations, certain NS subsidiaries, usually in conjunction with a number of other parties, have been identified as potentially responsible parties by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or similar state authorities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, or comparable state statutes, which often impose joint and several liability for cleanup costs.

With respect to known environmental sites (whether identified by NS or by the EPA or comparable state authorities), estimates of NS' ultimate potential financial exposure for a given site or in the aggregate for all such sites are necessarily imprecise because of the widely varying costs of currently available cleanup techniques, the likely development of new cleanup technologies, the difficulty of determining in advance the nature and full extent of contamination and each potential participant's share of any estimated loss (and that participant's ability to bear it), and evolving statutory and regulatory standards governing liability.

The risk of incurring environmental liability - for acts and omissions, past, present and future - is inherent in the railroad business. Some of the commodities in NS' traffic mix, particularly those classified as hazardous materials, can pose special risks that NS and its subsidiaries work diligently to minimize. In addition, several NS subsidiaries own, or have owned, land used as operating property, or which is leased or may have been leased and operated by others, or held for sale. Because environmental problems may exist on these properties that are latent or undisclosed, there can be no assurance that NS will not incur environmentally related liabilities or costs with respect to one or more

13

of them, the amount and materiality of which cannot be estimated reliably at this time. Moreover, lawsuits and claims involving these and potentially other now-unidentified environmental sites and matters are likely to arise from time to time. The resulting liabilities could have a significant effect on financial condition, results of operations or liquidity in a particular year or quarter.

However, based on its assessment of the facts and circumstances now known, management believes that it has recorded the probable costs for dealing with those environmental matters of which the Corporation is aware. Further, management believes that it is unlikely that any known matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

At March 31, 2004, NS had outstanding purchase commitments of approximately \$270 million in connection with its 2004 capital program, including purchases of locomotives and equipment. In addition, Norfolk Southern has committed to purchase telecommunications services totaling \$35 million through 2006.

14

Independent Accountants' Review Report

The Stockholders and Board of Directors

Norfolk Southern Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2004 and 2003. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America , the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations,* and Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities,* as of January 1, 2003.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet of Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated January 27, 2004, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2003, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Norfolk , Virginia

April 20, 2004

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

First-quarter net income was \$158 million in 2004, compared with \$209 million in 2003. The following table shows the components of the change:

	First Quarter						
	200)4)3	2004 vs. 2003 Increase <u>(Decrease)</u>		
		_	(\$ in mi		•		
Income from continuing operations			(+	····-·/			
before accounting changes Discontinued operations - taxes on	\$	158	\$	85	\$	73	
sale of motor carrier Cumulative effect of changes in				10		(10)	
accounting principles, net of taxes				114	_	(114)	
Net income	\$	158	\$	209	\$	(51)	

The decline reflected the absence of the accounting changes and discontinued operations included in net income in 2003. Income from continuing operations before accounting changes increased \$73 million, or 86%, in the first quarter of 2004, compared with the same period of 2003. The growth resulted from a \$132 million, or 8%, increase in railway operating revenues coupled with only a slight rise in railway operating expenses, which led to strong income from railway operations. The revenue gain was primarily the result of a 7% rise in traffic volume. Expenses were up 1% as the fluidity of the NS railroad network resulted in an increase in efficiency as measured by average train speed, terminal dwell time and cars on line.

Railway Operating Revenues

First-quarter railway operating revenues were \$1.7 billion in 2004, up \$132 million, or 8%, compared with the first quarter of 2003. As shown in the following table, the increase was the result of higher traffic volume and increased average revenues.

First Quarter 2004 vs. 2003 Increase (Decrease) (\$ in millions)

Traffic volume (carloads) Revenue per unit/mix	\$ 103 29
Total	\$ 132

16

Revenues, carloads and revenue per unit for the commodity groups were as follows:

	_				First Quarter					
	Revenues	Revenues Carloads						Revenue per Unit		
	<u>200</u>	4	<u>2003</u>		<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2004</u>		
		(\$ in mill	ions)	ons) (in thousands)			(\$ per unit)			
Coal General merchandise:	\$	398	\$	354	406.3	395.2	\$ 979	\$	895	
Automotive		248		242	166.9	166.4	1,486		1,453	
Chemicals		203		192	110.2	105.7	1,844		1,823	
Metals/construction		183		166	177.2	161.3	1,033		1,027	
Agr ./consumer prod./ govt .		176		168	141.0	134.6	1,251		1,247	
Paper/clay/forest	-	157	-	150	107.3	106.6	1,459		1,404	
General merchandise		967		918	702.6	674.6	1,377		1,360	
Intermodal	-	328	-	289	648.1	578.2	506		500	
Total	\$	1,693	\$	1,561	1,757.0	1,648.0	964	\$	947	

<u>Coal</u>

First-quarter coal revenues increased \$44 million, or 12%, compared with last year. Total traffic volume (carloads) was up 3%, principally because of higher traffic volume for utility coal, which benefited from weather-related demand coupled with lower utility stockpiles, economic expansion and high natural gas prices (which can result in increased coal-fired electricity generation). Export coal volume increased 2%, as the effects of higher global demand were somewhat constrained by tight supplies. Domestic metallurgical coal, coke and iron ore shipments declined 5%, as shortages in raw materials hampered the ability to meet increased demand. Industrial coal shipments rose 16%, a result of the expanding economy and new business. Average revenue per carload for coal increased 9%, showing the effects of higher rates, including the effects of favorable developments in the coal rate cases (see discussion below), as well as an increase in the proportion of longer length of haul (and therefore higher rated) traffic.

In 2002, two of NS' utility customers, Duke Energy (Duke) and Carolina Power & Light (CP&L), filed rate reasonableness complaints at the STB alleging that NS' tariff rates for the transportation of coal were unreasonable. In the Duke proceeding the STB initially found NS' rates to be reasonable in November 2003, but subsequently issued technical corrections in February 2004 finding that in certain years some portion of the rates was unreasonable. The case is currently stayed while the STB considers both parties' petitions for reconsideration, and the STB has not yet ordered any rate relief. In the CP&L proceeding the STB found NS' rates to be unreasonable in December 2003, but upheld a significant portion of NS' tariff increase. Both of the STB's rate decisions remain subject to appeal. NS is currently recognizing revenues based on the rates upheld or prescribed by the STB. Future developments in the two cases may result in adjustments that could have a significant impact on results of operations in a particular quarter. Over the long term, Management believes the STB decisions in the Duke and CP&L proceedings will help support improved pricing for coal transportation services.

Coal revenues are expected to continue to show growth for the remainder of the year, reflecting higher utility volume, driven by the replenishment of depleted stockpiles (which may be affected by weather-related demand), and a continuation of the favorable market conditions for export and domestic metallurgical coal, coke and iron ore coupled with an easing of the supply constraints seen in the first quarter.

General Merchandise

First-quarter general merchandise revenues increased \$49 million, or 5%, compared with last year. Total traffic volume was up 4%, as all five commodity groups posted increases. The metals and construction group posted the largest volume increase, up 10% on strong construction traffic, supported by increased public and commercial construction projects, and increased domestic steel production, reflecting a soft market for imported steel. Agriculture, consumer products and government traffic volume rose 5% on higher traffic volume for sweeteners, ethanol, fertilizer and wheat. Corn volumes declined reflecting a return to normal sourcing patterns in the East.

Chemicals traffic volume increased 4%, a result of strong demand for petroleum-related products, and more shipments of chlorine, industrial intermediates and plastics. The paper, clay and forest products and automotive groups posted modest gains. General merchandise average revenue per carload increased 1%, reflecting improved pricing and a higher proportion of longer-haul (higher revenue per carload) business.

General merchandise revenues are expected to continue to compare favorably with the prior year as 2004 progresses; however, a continuation of this trend is less certain in the fourth quarter due to the strength of the fourth quarter of last year.

Intermodal

First-quarter intermodal revenues increased \$39 million, or 13%, compared with last year. Total traffic volume (units) grew 12%, reflecting strength in all lines of business. Container traffic volume increased 10%, trailer traffic volume rose 28% and Triple Crown Services RoadRailer ® traffic volume grew 8%. Container and trailer traffic volumes benefited from the conversion of shipments from highway to rail, as strong demand, higher fuel costs for motor carriers and the early effect of new hours of service laws combined to increase shipments. International traffic volume grew by 7%, reflecting strength in U.S. foreign trade. Intermodal average revenue per unit rose 1%, a result of increased rates and a larger proportion of higher rated trailer and RoadRailer ® traffic volume.

Intermodal revenues are expected to continue to show growth during 2004, provided the retail and manufacturing sectors continue to expand.

Railway Operating Expenses

First-quarter railway operating expenses were \$1.3 billion in 2004, up \$17 million, or 1%, compared with the same period of 2003. The increase was primarily the result of higher compensation and benefits, as increases and decreases in the other operating expense line items largely offset each other.

Compensation and benefits expenses increased \$19 million, or 4%, primarily driven by higher wage rates, which added \$12 million, \$3 million less in pension income and \$2 million of increased costs for health and welfare benefits.

Materials, services and rents increased \$5 million, or 1%, reflecting higher volume-related intermodal purchased services and an increase in locomotive and freight car maintenance expenses, which were offset in part by lower equipment rents.

Other expenses rose \$4 million, principally due to higher property and sales and use taxes.

Diesel fuel expense increased \$3 million, or 3%, as a 6% rise in consumption was partially offset by a 3% decline in the average price per gallon. Expenses in 2004 included a \$23 million benefit from the hedging program, compared with a \$26 million benefit in the first quarter of 2003. For the remainder of the year, as of March 31, 2004, 240 million gallons, or about 67% of expected consumption has been hedged at an average price per gallon of 80 cents.

Casualties and other claims expenses declined by \$11 million, reflecting the absence of higher derailment costs and accruals for personal injury claims development that burdened expenses in the first quarter of 2003.

Conrail rents and services decreased \$5 million, largely due to lower costs in the Shared Assets Areas.

Other Income - Net

Other income - net was \$11 million lower in the first quarter of 2004, compared with the same period of 2003. The decline reflected the absence of a favorable adjustment that benefited 2003 related to interest accruals upon settlement of federal tax audits.

18

Provision for Income Taxes

The first-quarter effective income tax rate was 32.8% in 2004, compared with 32% last year. Excluding NS' equity in Conrail's after-tax earnings, the first-quarter rate was 35% in 2004 and 35.4% in 2003. The decline reflected a favorable resolution of Section 29 alternative fuel tax credit issues. NS expects its effective rate to remain at a comparable level throughout 2004. However, the company continues to pursue opportunities that will produce additional tax credits that are available under the provisions of the tax laws, which could further reduce the effective rate.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

Cash provided by operating activities, NS' principal source of liquidity, was \$258 million in the first three months of 2004, compared with \$133 million in the first three months of 2003. The improvement reflected the increase in income from railway operations.

A significant portion of payments made to PRR (which are included in "Conrail rents and services" and, therefore, are a use of cash in "Cash provided by operating activities") are borrowed back from a PRR subsidiary and, therefore, are a source of cash in "Proceeds from borrowings." NS' net cash flow from these borrowings amounted to \$69 million in the first three months of 2004 and \$80 million for the same period of 2003.

NS' working capital deficit was \$364 million at March 31, 2004, compared with \$376 million at Dec. 31, 2003. A working capital deficit is not unusual for NS, and the company expects to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to meet its ongoing obligations.

NS currently has the capability to increase the amount of accounts receivable being sold under the revolving sale program to meet its more immediate working capital needs. Over the last twelve months, the amount of receivables NS could sell under this program ranged from \$359 million to \$433 million, and the amount of receivables sold ranged from zero to \$150 million. Moreover, NS has a \$1 billion credit facility, which expires in 2006, that it can borrow under or use to support commercial paper debt; however, reductions in its credit rating could limit NS' ability to access the commercial paper markets.

Cash used for investing activities was \$193 million in the first three months of 2004, compared with \$210 million in the first three months of 2003. The decline was principally the result of a decrease in capital expenditures. However, during the first quarter, NS made additional commitments to purchase locomotives and other equipment in the amount of approximately \$160 million. Notwithstanding this increase in spending, it is likely that NS will make all of its capital expenditures with internally generated funds.

Cash used for financing activities was \$242 million in the first three months of 2004, compared with cash provided of \$21 million in the same period of 2003. The change resulted from a significant debt maturity in February 2004, as \$250 million of maturing debt was repaid with cash generated from operations. Proceeds from borrowings consisted entirely of loans from the PRR subsidiary in both periods (see Note 2). NS' debt-to-total capitalization ratio (excluding the notes payable to the PRR subsidiary) was 49.1% at March 31, 2004, and 50.7% at Dec. 31, 2003.

NS has outstanding \$717 million of its 7.05% notes due May 1, 2037. Holders of these notes had the right to require NS to redeem all or part of the notes at face value, plus accrued and unpaid interest, on May 1, 2004, but none of the holders presented notice of intent to redeem by the required notice date of April 1, 2004.

CONRAIL'S RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

Conrail's first-quarter net income was \$44 million in 2004 compared with net income of \$77 million in the first quarter of 2003. Each year included a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principles (see Note 2). Conrail's income before accounting changes was \$45 million in the first quarter of 2003. The improvement resulted from higher operating income, which reflected higher revenues and lower expenses, and higher nonoperating income.

19

Conrail's working capital deficit was \$44 million at March 31, 2004, compared with a deficit of \$22 million at Dec. 31, 2003. Conrail is expected to have sufficient cash flow to meet its ongoing obligations.

OTHER MATTERS

Labor Agreements

Approximately 24,000 of NS' railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with 14 different labor unions. These agreements remain in effect until changed pursuant to the Railway Labor Act. Moratorium provisions in these agreements permitted NS and the unions to propose such changes in late 1999; negotiations at the national level commenced shortly thereafter.

Agreements have been reached with the Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes (BMWE), which represents about 4,000 NS employees; the United Transportation Union (UTU), which represents about 7,000 NS employees; the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers and Blacksmiths (IBB), which represents about 100 NS employees; the Transportation Communications International Union (TCU), which represents about 4,000 NS employees; the American Train Dispatchers Department (ATDD), which represents about 350 NS employees; the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen (BRS), which represents about 1,000 NS employees; and the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (BLE), which represents about 4,400 NS employees. The agreement with the BLE is through 2009. A third tentative agreement with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), which represents about 900 NS employees, failed ratification in April 2004.

Health and welfare issues have been resolved with BMWE, TCU, BRS, BLE, ATDA and UTU. Health and welfare issues with the other organizations have not yet been resolved.

Market Risks and Hedging Activities

NS uses derivative financial instruments to reduce the risk of volatility in its diesel fuel costs and to manage its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates.

The intent of the diesel fuel hedging program is to assist in the management of NS' aggregate risk exposure to fuel price fluctuations, which can significantly affect NS' operating margins and profitability, through the use of one or more types of derivative instruments. Diesel fuel costs represented 8% of NS' operating expenses for the first quarter of 2004. The program provides that NS will not enter into any fuel hedges with a duration of more than 36 months, and that no more than 80% of NS' average monthly fuel consumption will be hedged for any month within any 36-month period.

As of March 31, 2004, through swap transactions, NS has hedged approximately 67% of expected 2004 diesel fuel requirements. The effect of the hedges is to yield an average cost of 80 cents per hedged gallon, including federal taxes and transportation. A 10% decrease in diesel fuel prices would reduce NS' asset related to the swaps by approximately \$38 million as of March 31, 2004.

NS manages its overall exposure to fluctuations in interest rates by issuing both fixed- and floating-rate debt instruments and by entering into interest-rate hedging transactions to achieve an appropriate mix within its debt portfolio.

At March 31, 2004, NS' debt subject to interest rate fluctuations totaled \$609 million (excluding debt due to the PRR subsidiary). A 1% increase in interest rates would increase NS' total annual interest expense related to all its variable debt by approximately \$6 million. Management considers it unlikely that interest rate fluctuations applicable to these instruments will result in a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Some of NS' capital leases, which carry an average fixed rate of 7%, were effectively converted to variable rate obligations using interest rate swap agreements. On March 31, 2004, the average pay rate under these agreements was 2%, and the average receive rate was 7%. The effect of the swaps was to reduce interest expense by \$2 million in each of the first quarters of 2004 and 2003. A portion of the lease obligations is payable in Japanese yen. NS eliminated the associated exchange rate risk at the inception of each lease with a yen deposit sufficient to fund the yen-denominated obligation. Most of these deposits are held by foreign banks, primarily Japanese. As a result, NS is exposed to

Environmental Matters

NS is subject to various jurisdictions' environmental laws and regulations. It is NS' policy to record a liability where such liability or loss is probable and its amount can be estimated reasonably. Claims, if any, against third parties for recovery of cleanup costs incurred by NS are reflected as receivables (when collection is probable) in the balance sheet and are not netted against the associated NS liability. Environmental engineers regularly participate in ongoing evaluations of all known sites and in determining any necessary adjustments to liability estimates. NS also has established an Environmental Policy Council, composed of senior managers, to oversee and interpret its environmental policy.

NS' balance sheets included liabilities for environmental exposures in the amount of \$24 million at March 31, 2004, and \$25 million at Dec. 31, 2003, (of which \$8 million was accounted for as a current liability in each period). At March 31, 2004, the liability represented NS' estimate of the probable cleanup and remediation costs based on available information at 112 known locations. On that date, 10 sites accounted for \$12 million of the liability, and no individual site was considered to be material. NS anticipates that much of this liability will be paid out over five years; however, some costs will be paid out over a longer period.

At some of the 112 locations, certain NS subsidiaries, usually in conjunction with a number of other parties, have been identified as potentially responsible parties by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or similar state authorities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, or comparable state statutes, which often impose joint and several liability for cleanup costs.

With respect to known environmental sites (whether identified by NS or by the EPA or comparable state authorities), estimates of NS' ultimate potential financial exposure for a given site or in the aggregate for all such sites are unavoidably imprecise because of the widely varying costs of currently available cleanup techniques, the likely development of new cleanup technologies, the difficulty of determining in advance the nature and full extent of contamination and each potential participant's share of any estimated loss (and that participant's ability to bear it), and evolving statutory and regulatory standards governing liability.

The risk of incurring environmental liability -- for acts and omissions, past, present and future -- is inherent in the railroad business. Some of the commodities in NS' traffic mix, particularly those classified as hazardous materials, can pose special risks that NS and its subsidiaries work diligently to minimize. In addition, several NS subsidiaries own, or have owned, land used as operating property, or which is leased or may have been leased and operated by others, or held for sale. Because environmental problems that are latent or undisclosed may exist on these properties, there can be no assurance that NS will not incur environmental liabilities or costs with respect to one or more of them, the amount and materiality of which cannot be estimated reliably at this time. Moreover, lawsuits and claims involving these and potentially other unidentified environmental sites and matters are likely to arise from time to time. The resulting liabilities could have a significant effect on financial condition, results of operations or liquidity in a particular year or quarter.

However, based on an assessment of known facts and circumstances, management believes that it is unlikely that any known matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on NS' financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that may be identified by the use of words like "believe," "expect," "anticipate" and "project." Forward-looking statements reflect management's good-faith evaluation of information currently available. However, such statements are dependent on and, therefore, can be influenced by, a number of external variables over which management has little or no control, including: domestic and international economic conditions; the business environment in industries that produce and consume rail freight; competition and consolidation within the transportation industry; fluctuation in prices of key materials, in particular diesel fuel; labor difficulties, including

strikes and work stoppages; legislative and regulatory developments; changes in securities and capital markets; and natural events such as severe weather, floods and earthquakes. Forward-looking statements are not, and should not be relied upon as, a guaranty of future performance or results. Nor will they necessarily prove to be accurate indications of the times at or by which any such performance or results will be achieved.

As a result, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks.

The information required by this item is included in Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations" on page 20 under the heading "Market Risks and Hedging Activities."

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Norfolk Southern's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of NS' disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of March 31, 2004. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of March 31, 2004, NS' disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to NS (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in NS' periodic filings under the Exchange Act.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls.

During the first quarter of 2004, management has not identified any changes in NS' internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, NS' internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) Exhibits:
 - 15 Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information
 - 31 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications
 - 32 Section 1350 Certifications
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

A report on Form 8-K was filed Jan. 28, 2004, advising of the issuance of a press release announcing fourth quarter and 2003 results, and attaching as an exhibit the related press release.

A report on Form 8-K was filed Feb. 4, 2004, advising of the issuance of a press release estimating the impact of the Surface Transportation Board's technical corrections issued in <u>Duke Energy Corp. v. Norfolk Southern Railway Company</u>, and attaching as an exhibit the related press release. A report on Form 8-K was filed Feb. 12, 2004, advising that the vice chairman and chief financial officer, Henry C. Wolf, was scheduled to address the Deutsche Bank Global Transportation Conference at 9:15 a.m. Eastern time on February 12, that interested investors could listen via a simultaneous webcast, that the presentation would be posted after the webcast on <u>www.nscorp.com</u>, and attaching as an exhibit the 2004 Investor Book distributed to conference participants.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION

Registrant

Date: April 27, 2004

/s/ Dezora M. Martin

Dezora M. Martin Corporate Secretary (Signature)

Date: April 27, 2004

/s/ Marta R. Stewart

Marta R. Stewart Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) (Signature)

Exhibit Index

Electronic

Submission Exhibit <u>Number</u>

Description

- 15 Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information
- 31 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications
- 32 Section 1350 Certifications

22

CERTIFICATIONS OF CEO AND CFO REQUIRED BY RULE 13a-14(b) OR RULE 15d-14(b) AND SECTION 1350 OF CHAPTER 63 OF TITLE 18 OF THE U. S. CODE

I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 of Norfolk Southern Corporation fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Norfolk Southern Corporation.

Signed: /s/ David R. Goode

David R. Goode Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer Norfolk Southern Corporation

Dated: April 26, 2004

I certify, to the best of my knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 of Norfolk Southern Corporation fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Norfolk Southern Corporation.

Signed: /s/ Henry C. Wolf

Henry C. Wolf Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer Norfolk Southern Corporation

Dated: April 26, 2004

Norfolk Southern Corporation:

Re: Registration Statement Nos. 33-52031, 333-109069, 333-60722, 333-71321 and 333-100936 on Form S-8 and 333-57872 and 333-57872-01 on Form S-3.

With respect to the subject registration statements, we acknowledge our awareness of the use therein of our report dated April 20, 2004 related to our reviews of interim financial information.

Pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act), such report is not considered part of a registration statement prepared or certified by an accountant, or a report prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Norfolk , Virginia

April 26, 2004

CERTIFICATIONS OF CEO AND CFO PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a) OR RULE 15d-14(a)

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Norfolk Southern Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact

or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances

under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 26, 2004

/s/ David R. Goode

David R. Goode Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31, Page 2 of 2

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Norfolk Southern Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact

or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances

under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- Date: April 26, 2004

/s/ Henry C. Wolf

Henry C. Wolf Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer